

March 27, 1973

advantage himself and not to exchange either with him or other people for like services?

SENATOR WARNER: No, I, I think you would run, this, all it refers to is agriculture products, or agriculture produce, Senator Carpenter. If we were to prevent the exchange, then you're going to prevent the use in a great many instances where it's needed, where two or more farmers go together because of the cost of equipment, you, everybody just, there is no way they can own all of it and by jointly owning, or different farmers owning different pieces of equipment, they can jointly do their harvesting more economically.

SENATOR CARPENTER: But agriculture products can mean a number of things, whether they're in the raw stage, finished stage or what it is. We can be talking about corn or popcorn or puffed wheat or nine other things.

SENATOR WARNER: The only thing, Senator Carpenter, is the restriction here is identical to what the present farm license plate is.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I don't care what the present language-- this is a new bill. I don't see anything except new language. There's nothing that's stricken or anything underlined or anything else is it?

SENATOR WARNER: What I'm saying, Senator Carpenter, if there is ample, based upon the use of a regular farm license, there is an ample history as far as definition of these words. There is no new words other than what presently, been used for years, in the interpretation of what you can haul on a farm truck, farm license.

SENATOR CARPENTER: What I'm saying, maybe the old language ought to be changed. I'm opposed to letting a farmer haul his stuff to wherever he's going to haul it to market or anywhere else and then under the theory of exchange, bring back a load of something else that's not entirely in the area of any farmer which he hauled it down. I know it has been, not, I don't know about now, I know it used to be a farmer would go down somewhere and come back and haul a load of things I wanted at a much reduced rate.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, he'd be in violation of law.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, what isn't?

SENATOR WARNER: That wouldn't be his own product. Or exchange for work.

SENATOR CARPENTER: It's not his product but it can change the service for someone else with a, agriculture product.

SENATOR WARNER: To my knowledge, Senator Carpenter, it has never been interpreted that way.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, but that's what the law said--

SENATOR WARNER: No, it doesn't say that, because it hasn't been interpreted that way, Senator.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, do you have any objection to spelling out as to what means--what you say it says?

SENATOR WARNER: How do--what words do you wish to use?

SENATOR CARPENTER: I, I'll have to get some help. I'm not a farmer, I just farm farmers. You're a farmer and you try to