

March 27, 1973

then Snyder. Senator Proud.

SENATOR PROUD: Mr. President, I only rise to support Senator Schmit. Certainly, Agriculture is probably the most important industry in this state. There is nobody that is going to put the cattle feeders out of business. If the pollution Control Council doesn't act with some judgment and discretion, you'd better believe that there will be a bill in here next year to abolish them, or something like that. But I am upset about those who wantonly pollute our atmosphere and I think something should be done about them, so I am in favor of removal of the Wyoming clause and for Senator Schmit's bill.

PRESIDENT: The chair recognizes Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, we are very fortunate in our state of Nebraska in most sections having good clean air. We'd like to keep it this way and the only way that we can insure that the areas which presently have clean air will continue this way is to remove the Wyoming clause. We do not want clean sections of Nebraska to get to the point where they have as much pollution as Omaha, Sarpy county, and parts of Lancaster county, so that they will then fall under the federal rules and regulations. Most Nebraskans wish to keep some of the advantages we have and one of them is our clean air. Let's vote for Senator Schmit's bill.

PRESIDENT: Next to speak is Senator Snyder.

SENATOR SNYDER: Mr. President, members of the body, I would agree with, most whole-heartily with nearly everything that has been said this far on this subject, but I believe there is one point that has not been stated that I think that it's my personal reason, above and beyond any of the others already stated, for wanting to push forward LB 254. Not only are we speaking just about the protection of our environment in this state, let's look at it from a very basic standpoint and, again, it is the overriding reason why I must support LB 254, that is the repeal of the Wyoming clause because in doing that we are not just talking about having better environmental standards. We really are talking about states' rights. I don't know why any state body would want to bind itself to say that we would not have any standards that would surpass those established by the federal government. This day and age when we have cried about individual rights and more, especially states' rights, why would we ever want to say, Uncle Sam knows better and yes, we will abide with everything Uncle Sam puts forth. Already this session, we've had numerous occasions where individuals, and sometimes collectively, we have criticized either federal standards or federal attitudes and this is a very, very basic point of view right here. Let's just simply admit that in LB 254 we are saying, yes, we can have and we would like to have, sometimes, stronger standards than those espoused from our Capitol.

PRESIDENT: Before we continue the debate on this and the chair next recognizes Senator Stull, the chair would like the prerogative of introducing some students before they have to leave. We have seated in the north balcony this morning, 240 students of the Eighth Grade of Beveridge Junior High from Omaha. They have eight teachers and counselors with them. Mr. Dave Clough is in charge, Mrs. Newton, Mr. Barth, Mrs. Castelle, I believe it is, Mrs. Kline, Mrs. Smith, Mrs. Koop is a Counselor and Mr. Strop is a Counselor. They are from Senator Fellman's district but it is the chair's understanding that this morning Senator Kelly is going to act as the host for this group and he will be assisted by Senator Gary Anderson. So, those two Senators may be up in the balcony from time to time helping the youngsters here to interpret what's going on on the floor. We are delighted to