

March 27, 1973

me that it can serve real purpose, in some instances, to be able to hold a bill in Committee for Interim study purposes. I know of none in that position at this point in Education but I know there are in Public Works and I assume there are in other Committees where you know it's an issue that needs to be reviewed during the Interim period. It seems only reasonable that you would start out with that proposal and keep it alive in Committee, safe. You would not necessitate a Public Hearing, of course. They have already had it, but they could if they wanted to in the future. But it seems to me a proper way to...for the Interim Study Committees to operate.

PRESIDENT: Senator Whitney, did you wish to be heard on this issue? Do you understand that we have Senator Warner's substitute motion, now.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Yes. Senator Warner, I'd like to ask him a question. Now, the only difference, then, between what we now are doing and what you do in this new motion of yours would be that bills that are left in Committee would not be indefinitely postponed when we adjourn sine die. That would be the major difference, is that correct?

SENATOR WARNER: They would not have to be indefinitely postponed, Senator Whitney. I would assume most Committees would indef...would eventually kill all those other than the ones that they wish to retain for Interim Study purposes.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Yes. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Maresh, did you wish to be heard on the issue? Now, is there any further discussion, then, of the Warner substitute motion. All right. Senator Warner, do you want to close on your...do you want to close on the issue? The question is then shall the Warner motion be adopted which would substitute the language as found on Page 736, Rule 5, Section 13 for that as proposed on... in place of that proposed on Page 835. All those in favor will vote aye. Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Just a question, now. All it does is to allow the Committees which have Interim Studies to hold those bills up and no other bills...

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR CARPENTER: ...Now, if we adopt Senator Warner's motion, do we keep all the bills on General File and other positions except those bills affected definitely by an Interim Study?

PRESIDENT: That's my understanding. Is that correct, Senator Warner?

SENATOR WARNER: That's my understanding. We touch nothing on the Board. Everything stays exactly where it is now but a Committee would have the option of not killing a bill in Committee and keeping it for purposes of an Interim Study, and, as I say, I know of, at least in Public Works we have one or two that that is the intent to do and it seems like it is a reasonable approach.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: I believe I would like to have everyone understand how I understand the Rule change, as Chairman of the Rules Committee. I understand that every bill that is left in any type of position at the end of this year is alive and well, whether it is in a Committee, on that Board