

March 27, 1973

and who appeared for the bill, the people for example didn't know anything about the bill. That's the reason they weren't here. There is too much effect on what we do in these committees from the stand point of those who lobby for a living, let me give you one illustration of what I'm talking about. We got a lobbyist for example who is now lobbying, Charlie Miller, who now works for Mr. Tews, Mr. Tews is a friend of mine, whenever I can when I agree with him I help him, but on the other hand, Mr. Miller when he left the OPPD he had twenty eight thousand dollars a year, I think that is correct, he now gets a pension of about seven hundred and fifty dollars a month. In order to get the pension, he couldn't go back and working for the OPPD because that was against the rules on pensions. So they pull a lousy trick, OPP don't pay him personally but they do through Mr. Tews, and he lobbys in day in and day out for the OPPD and everybody in this body knows it, that's a kind of a lousy undercover trick they've put, I don't blame Miller, I only blame those people who pay Tews to do what otherwise they couldn't do for him. I certainly, I would be opposed to this rule by sending back all of these bills, back to the committee, which heard them of the same complexion, the same membership, in order to give you another look at them. What good will it accomplish, nothing, in the broad interest of the public there are other things it will accomplish, that's why I'm opposed to it.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Maresh, then Senator Kremer.

SENATOR MARESH: Mr. President and members of the legislature, I'd like to explain the rule change as I suggested, this would force the bills out of committee, for instance we're on the 57th day today, so by Friday all the bills would have to be out of committee or they would be held over for the next session during the long session, during the short session they were not out at two thirds of the session, then they would be automatically killed unless somebody could raise them up on the floor with 30 votes, that was the purpose for my rule change. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Chairman only to say that I do support the segment of the proposed rule change would allow the bills still in committee to remain alive. Most of them are still alive and in committee because there is one of two things to it, either there was not enough votes to kill them or to pass them out and probably will die under that kind of a situation, and there are those that are still there because they do in essence ask for a study, and we have several in one of our committees of which I'm a member and they should remain alive, it will avoid the expense of reprinting the bill and after the study I'm sure the same bill can be used as the vehicle to be considered by the next session, but I do oppose the second part of the rule change that would refer the bills on general file back to the same committee. In my opinion it would only again overload the committee to some extent in a short session, the committee has dealt with the bill has had the hearing and they have advanced the bill by a majority vote, and therefore, it deserves to remain on general file and I think it would benefit it to leave them there and consider as such in the next session. So I do support a part of the rule change, but I do not support the second part that refers the bills on general file back to committee.

PRESIDENT: Back to you Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President I wonder if the Clerk could find the page number of the original rule proposal change, it-

CLERK: It's on page 736 I believe.