

right to make his motion on this Resolution.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Yes, well, it is not in my book.

SENATOR DUIS: No. I'm sorry it isn't because I will tell you, if you'll bear with us and I know that there has been criticism of the number of times the Rules Committee has been out on Rules but when we adopted our Rules the beginning of this session in just one whole sweep, we did necessitate quite a number of changes. Now, we are attempting, we have gotten through all of the Rule changes and we are going to bring before you this morning or tomorrow morning the rest of the Rule changes we have before us, so that our books can be reprinted, Senator Whitney, because we have not been able to keep up with the books.

SENATOR WHITNEY; Mr. President.,

PRESIDENT: Go ahead, Senator Whitney.

SENATOR WHITNEY: ...as I understand it what Senator Duis has just said is that we've got a new Rule and it says in that new Rule that if that...such Resolutions that aren't included in Sections 2 and 3 of Rule 4 will be treated in a different manner. Now, as I read Section 2, it says Resolutions that propose amendments to the state constitution, propose ratification of amendments to the federal constitution, or the rejection, change, alteration, amendment, or modification of Rules under the provisions and so on shall be considered and adopted in the same manner as bills. Now, I would feel possible this Resolution proposed a ratification of amendments to the federal constitution and, therefore, would have to be considered as a bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Senator Whitney, we just went over that and this does...according to our interpretation and that is my interpretation which is probably different than yours, this is not a ratification nor is this a rejection because this did not come from them down to us to be ratified or to be rejected. This is a proposal to the federal government that they make a change and they would have to act on it first before we could either ratify or reject it. So, consequently, this particular Resolution does not fit under either Section 2 or Section 3, so, therefore, would be governed under Section 8 which is found on Page 303 of your Journal. Now if anyone would want to turn to Page 303 of the Journal, you will find the new Rule that is under Section 8 at the present time.

PRESIDENT: All right. Now, Senator Barnett. We are still discussing after that skirmish the reference to Committee of Resolution 23.

SENATOR BARNETT: Senator Proud's motion is before us now.

PRESIDENT: That is correct.

SENATOR BARNETT: I'd like to amend his motion with a very simple amendment. I'll try it by unanimous consent. If I hear objection, then, I will move for it but I think that beings this is a Constitutional amendment, it should be heard by our Constitutional Revision Committee.

PRESIDENT: Well, that's a very technical amendment.

SENATOR BARNETT: Then I will move for it if that will make it untechnical, how would that be.

PRESIDENT: Stand by. It will take a suspension of the Rules in order to adopt your amendment because you are asking that