

March 26, 1973

it involved the entire ramification of the Supreme Court, the two Supreme Court decisions, and certainly we would have a rerun if we had another public hearing, I think the committee has looked at this very carefully, we realize that it is an explosive issue, we think it's a companion to any kind of bill that would be proposed by the committee and I think we need to expedite the matter. I think all sides have been heard, I think we understand almost where every group stands, I think it would be repetitious to have a public hearing, I would urge you to vote to suspend the rules and move this resolution forward.

PRESIDENT: The Chair next recognizes Senator Proud.

SENATOR PROUD: Well Mr. President, in this regard I must agree with Senator Chambers and disagree with those who don't want to have a public hearing. I have always voted in the legislature against liberalization of the abortion laws, but we are dealing with a very important subject, this subject has not had a public hearing, we are requesting the Congress to do a very specific thing, as I read the amendment, it basically says that all unborn life shall be treated the same as lives in being, as individuals who are already born, and that may be the way we want the resolution, but certainly, even though, I am against liberalization, of abortion I do not feel in all fairness that we should deprive those people who favor abortion from having a public hearing. Certainly this is emotional, certainly it would be nice for us in a sense to get it over with, this morning, and be done with it, maybe a public hearing will prove nothing, but that's the purpose, the purpose of a public hearing is to let the public be heard on a specific issue, this is not the same issue as the abortion law that is now held up in committee, the overall it is, but specifically, it is not, and I submit to you that we members have not have sufficient time to study this resolution and I cannot see what is wrong with having a public hearing, of course it is emotional, of course we will get mail, but once again I would remind you that the conference of state legislatures rated Nebraska number one in accountability and they did that for a specific reason, and I believe they're correct, that we do come as close or closer than any legislator in the United States in being accountable to the people of this state. Therefore, I believe that we should have a public hearing.

PRESIDENT: The Chair next recognizes Senator Hasebroock, then Stahmer, Chambers, Moylan, Kelly, and Snyder.

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: Mr. President, members of the legislature, I rise in support of the resolution, I think that the committee has done a good job and I rise in support of the committee, I think we need the suspension of the rules this morning, and as far as a public hearing, they've already had one public hearing, and if we had another public hearing, it would be just a rerun. I urge that we vote for suspension of the rules. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President and members of the body, I stand to support Senator Chambers and Senator Proud, I think it is of, far in excess of what was done at the public hearing to try to extrapolate from that hearing that the people did or did not specifically address themselves to a constitutional amendment that would revoke this recent decision of the U. S. Supreme Court. I further agree with Senator Proud that it is, that we have the right of accountability and it is up to us to let the people speak, and I would further disagree with the resolution as it is drawn wherein it says whereas human life in all stages is entitled to the protection of the laws and may not be a bridge