

March 22, 1973

bill, again I want to say, it's not popular to support this bill, because it's easy, it's easy to pick on the ADC, there aren't enough ADC mothers and children in my district to fill one hearing room in this capitol, but they are like chickens in the barnyard, we pick on those who can not pick back the least, and so I would hope that we'll face this realistically, will face it honestly, we'll try somehow or other to correct those abuses that we know now exist in the administration of welfare, we'll face up to it honestly and then perhaps through the vehicle which we've discussed earlier, we will bring the spot light to bear upon those individuals who are actually receiving the bulk of the funds, and when we do that I believe perhaps we might turn the tide and reverse the trend in welfare and not until we do that, and that's all I have to say at this time.

PRESIDENT: Now is there any further discussion of Senator Schmit's LB 235? Is there a need Senator Schmit to discuss the amendments to this bill, do you feel? Apparently there are committee amendments. Do those committee amendments change the bill in any way? Substantially, Senator Kennedy do you care to respond? I guess I'm asking if discussion of the amendments at this time would help in considering the co-relationship of the bills? Mr. Kennedy.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Mr. President and fellow members, the committee amendment as introduced and accepted, does state here very clearly that it, as the introducer had explained it does come up with a formula of 80% of needs, and our problem in dealing with welfare in from state to state as I've stated here on the floor before and we are trying to correct this, at least state to state so that when we talk about the subject of 80% needs or 100% needs, this has been our problem with dealing with Federal people, that one state will say we are giving 60% of the needs, another will say 100%, another one 80, but in this instance, this is the amendment that is added to the bill, then on page 4, line 7, you strike employed parents, and of the employed children and insert all eligible family members which makes a clarification only, so it doesn't really change the original very much. There should be amendments in your books, if there is any questions I'd be -

PRESIDENT: Now, Senator Whitney, do you wish to be heard on the amendment? Speaking about the committee amendments only, and incidently the Chair thinks that we maybe ought to consider adopting these amendments here as long as we are talking about the bill, let's begin to finalize the action on the bill then. Senator Whitney.

SENATOR WHITNEY: I'd like to ask Senator Kennedy a question?

PRESIDENT: Senator Kennedy will you yield?

SENATOR KENNEDY: Yes.

SENATOR WHITNEY: As I understand it, the LB 235 would increase over the last fiscal year, the cost of twenty nine million dollars, or thirty three percent, and I'm just wondering now, would this amendment, how this is going to effect the total probable expenditures? Would it make it greater or less? Now this, the Governor's recommendation say was a hundred and nine million dollars, this adds another approximately eight million which would make it a hundred and seventeen million dollars total for welfare now that's without the amendment, now if we have the amendment then, how much greater or less would this be then the hundred and seventeen million dollars?

SENATOR KEENEDY: It is my understanding that with the amendment it would not change that total figure of the fiscal analyst gave us, which is in your book, now I would have to again go