

March 22, 1973

to give you. There are other people here who can give you an answer.

SENATOR BARNETT: Who would you suggest?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Whoever has it?

SENATOR BARNETT: Who has it? I mean, you know there is other people. You say there is other people got it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Exactly, what figure do you want?

SENATOR BARNETT: Well, I'm trying to find out right now is, by adopting your bill, would we, in effect, reduce General Fund appropriation by acquiring more federal funds or would we increase that amount because it comes out of federal funds?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There would be some...there would have to be an increase at both levels. Whatever the state offers in the terms of aid, the federal government is compelled to pay its share. If the state decided to pay each recipient \$500, the federal government would have to match that. They couldn't even look behind and say its unreasonable.

SENATOR BARNETT: (inaudible) 50% situation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There is an alternate method of funding as Senator Goodrich pointed out.

SENATOR BARNETT: OK, because I had understood that under these federal programs when talking to one of the fiscal analyst that this is altogether not true. It could be true as to what Senator Goodrich has stated, but the possibility of the Executive Branch or whoever is in charge of moving to the federal participation would probably be advanced by this bill. There would be more federal participation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't know where I lost you but I'll buy what you said.

SENATOR BARNETT: Well, I just talked a little, you know... well, OK.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: OK.

SPEAKER: Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President and members of the body, I'd rise to oppose Senator Goodrich's kill motion. We heard this bill in the Health and Welfare Committee along with Senator Schmit's bill and the reason that both bills were put out of that Committee was not, primarily, with the intention of issuing either bill a strong endorsement, but it would be my basic feeling that the Committee felt that the present level of aid to children in the state of Nebraska was inadequate, and what they wanted to present the Legislature with was a matter of policy to determine whether this Legislature is willing to concede to disadvantaged children in the state of Nebraska, that they are entitled to a reasonable and meaningful standard of living at a minimum. Senator Goodrich's alternate solution to this problem which is an economic problem...a problem of economic deprivation among a certain segment of the children of the state of Nebraska. It is just totally confounding to me. He says the solution is to educate them so that they will be in a position to go out and make their own living. Well, the problem is is that basically that they are children and I don't see how you can educate a child who is hungry or goes home at night and he is cold and is inadequately clothed and expect that child to be inspired and fired up by the opportunity for education and somehow by the age of 13 or 14, rise above all this misery