

March 15, 1973

according to his judgement, we are in error. So let me say to him, if he doesn't like the rule, every Senator can make a change in the rules, and then will have to comply with it, but until that happens I would suggest that he quits complaining about it.

SPEAKER: All right. Now the question is, should the rules be suspended in order, Senator Barnett, for what purpose do you rise?

BARNETT: For a question of the Chair.

SPEAKER: All right.

BARNETT: It sounds a little bit funny coming from up there, but I have this question to ask you. Is there agreement now between Senator Marsh and Senator Proud as to the direction that this action is going to take?

SPEAKER: I can not answer that question.

BARNETT: Then can I direct the question at them?

SPEAKER: You may.

BARNETT: I don't want them jumping up next year and saying that this was all done wrong and done in,

SPEAKER: The procedure that we have, the procedure that I outlined to you, its my understanding that thats the way the Legislature will proceed. I don't think that that has to be in agreement between Senator Marsh and Senator Proud.

BARNETT: As long as thats your ruling, then thats what I wanted to find out. Thank-you sir.

SPEAKER: Question is, should the rules be suspended in order for us to take up Legislative Resolution #9, as we have agreed upon. All those in favor will vote, all those opposed will vote no. Your voting on a suspension of the rules in order to fully consider Legislative Resolution #9. Now then, have you all voted? All right. Just for the clarification of the journal, this is the motion to suspend the rules to take up the consideration of Legislative Resolution #9. Have you all voted? The clerk will record.

CLERK: 3 ayes, 11 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER: All right. Now, the previous question has been called and has been voted upon and that motion has been sustained, so Senator Proud is now closing on the arguments for his Legislative Resolution #9. The Chair recognizes Senator Proud.

PROUD: Mr. President, members, I just have a few words. Senator Simpson kept talking about equal pay, for equal work. Well I can tell you the Equal Rights Amendment will do nothing for that. For the simple reason that we have these Civil Rights Acts of 1964 and the Equal Opportunities Act of 1972, which prevent any kind of discrimination. A woman who feels she is discriminated against now, can file a complaint thats heard by a commission. If shes not satisfied then, she can take the matter to court and the Government pays the expenses. What more can you want. Now Senator Simpson, I believe is a member of the Millers Union. The lady who is very articulate who works on this production line, who appeared at the hearing is a Miller and belongs to the Millers Union and she is absolutely against the Equal Rights Amendment. Senator Simpson says you have to go to court now. Thats nothing to what will happen if the Equal Rights Amendment passes. There will be hundreds of law suits filed and will have to increase the number of judges. All women are now protected under the 14th