

March 14, 1973

SENATOR SYAS: I don't even know if I'm for or against this bill, now, so I'm not trying to sell any Senator anything right now. All I'm trying to say is that the Senator here has the problem, in an area or two, but the only thing I'm questioning for the moment is the way his amendment is written if it solves that problem, rather, if it solves the problem if it doesn't create more problems. Now I say again I know a recreation area where we have a restaurant, it's leased, the people lease out the restaurant in a recreation area, I would say probably the restaurant is right in the middle of the thing. Now are you going to, a person comes in he's going to say I'm only going to go to the restaurant there so, the restaurant therefore I don't have to pay five bucks. I don't see how you can administer anything like that. I think that would be a nightmare. Now I don't what the answer is, and I'd like to see the, if you have to go through a place, that you'd be allowed to go through it, but I don't know what the solution is on this particular thing. If I had the answer I'd sure tell you, but I can see where your amendment Senator that you have written wouldn't even be a nightmare, there would be no way of separating the restauranteurs from the camper or the guy that was out fishing. There would be no way. And it's one of the biggest areas in the state of Nebraska. I suppose it would have to be exempt from the five dollar fee to even be enforceable.

SPEAKER: Senator Syas are you asking Senator Carstens a question?

SENATOR SYAS: Yes I'm asking Senator Carstens a question?

SPEAKER: Will you yield Senator Carstens?

SENATOR CARSTENS: Yes, Mr. President and members of the legislature, I'm sure that Senator Syas has a valid point here and I'm not denying it, I'm not real sure, and I'm not sure he is, of how many of these specific instances of which he speaks we do have in the state of Nebraska. I am concerned with the implementation of the bill, Senator Syas, and I think this is a lot like much of our legislation that we do introduce and pass here, that we have to implement it and to get it into operation before we actually see some of the problems and try to remedy them as we come back in the following session. I know that we tempt to remedy as many problems as we can before we implement it, but many times we don't, and I was in hopes that we could get this into operation and if we've got problems we'll try and solve them next year or the year following.

SPEAKER: Did you have your question answered Senator Syas?

SENATOR SYAS: The thing Senator Carstens I'd like to ask him, the largest recreation area in the state this will exempt under his amendment, isn't that so Senator?

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Nore.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. President and fellow members, I think this is another example of an ambiguous bill, I would suggest and I have suggested that the game commission set out in the bill what areas are to be restricted and what areas are not to be, the way it is now is completely ambiguous and when you get ambiguous legislation you're in real trouble, and personally I don't think with this particular piece of legislation if I was driving along the highway and come to one of these recreation areas and wanted to take a nap, I don't think I'd stop there for fear of that I may not have seen the sign or I might have to pay a fine, so we'll just stay away, so it's, the bill is ambiguous and I don't think we should take the ambiguity out of it and then I think it would be a pretty good bill, by doing that you would designate these areas in the bill what is restricted and what isn't restricted.