

raise and the tax raise will be on the services to people then, not on the building.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: This should have nothing to do with reducing anything to the services of people. Absolutely nothing.

SENATOR STAHMER: Well--sir, you do not serve on the Budget Committee and has seen what the Government is proposing to cut back in services to people. I think you're more concerned about building buildings than you are in offering services to people and I think it would be appropriate for this body to decide first of all, how much money we do have and do want to spend for services to people before we decide and are able to decide what we can or cannot spend for buildings.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Senator Stahmer, I do not care to get into a personal argument with you whether I stand for services to people. My record speaks for itself in this body.

SENATOR STAHMER: Including the field house.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Including the field house.

SPEAKER: Senator Whitney.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Mr. President, first I'd like to make a comment with reference to what Senator Stahmer has just asked of Senator Carpenter and then I would like to ask Senator Carpenter a question on a different subject. As I read this amendment the Commission may employ a director, architects and draftsmen for the preparation or revision of such plans and to my way of thinking, this means they could have a new set of plans if they wanted it. Now, the amendment also changes from 72% to 80% the tenant usable space of the gross area and there is a question in my mind as to whether or not there are plans here to have a garage, a place to put automobiles in the building and whether or not, when we change this from 72% to 80% of tenant usable space, would that have an effect on the garage space if any garage space is intended. Now, could Senator Carpenter answer that question for me?

SENATOR CARPENTER: You're talking about whether this building will provide storage for automobiles?

SENATOR WHITNEY: Yes.

SENATOR CARPENTER: That I can't--I, my first thought is it doesn't and I presume, Senator Kremer--

SPEAKER: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Chairman, Section 2 of the committee amendments does provide for in two different lots, 92 and 96, for parking facilities, parking lots, not--

SENATOR CARPENTER: Parking lots--

SENATOR KREMER: Parking lots.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Not in the building?

SENATOR KREMER: Not in the building.

SENATOR WHITNEY: O.K. Now, the question is, is this total \$20,000,000 just for the building or does it include the parking lots and then is this going to be, the parking lot going to be affected here by this. What I'm trying to get at is this: If we change from a 72% figure to 80% figure, are we going to run into problems with reference to parking? For automobiles?