

March 8, 1973

not necessary here today and I would wish that Senator Carpenter would...Senator Carstens would not have had to bring this to a head because in the long run all it does is open up the old scars that had been healed, and I'd like to ask Senator Carpenter a question if he is in the Chamber.

SPEAKER: Senator Carpenter, will you yield to a question?

SENATOR MAHONEY: Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Yes sir.

SENATOR MAHONEY: In this last election if I can remember correctly and I do study some of these things on political results, I do remember that it was your urban friends in counties of Douglas and Lancaster and some of the larger who stood by you, and I ask you this question here, have you suddenly had a rebirth of love for the great outstate area. Some of the areas that turned you down in a very narrow defeat?

SPEAKER: Senator Carpenter, do you care to respond?

SENATOR CARPENTER: Oh, sure. When they talk about love, I start picking up my ears. I have never lost the love for the area I come back. I am only now reverting to character. I want to preclude the thing that the people from Omaha in the political area, which is not necessarily the people of Omaha. I think the people of Omaha use extra good judgment, and I'm sure it only proves where people know those people best, sometimes they don't vote for them. See, they were not as selfish because they voted for the thing, for example, they are now getting and they are complaining about it. I don't know of any...many people on the farm who are not now really sad in heart that they didn't vote for me and maybe now would prefer Senator McGovern against Mr. Nixon. He's teaching them a lesson, and it's put to a good use because it couldn't happen to a nicer bunch of people who voted for Mr. Nixon. Now, in answer to Senator Stahmer, I would have no objection, for example, if you lost a congressman from Douglas county. He's so much like Nixon you can't tell the difference, and I don't think he represents the people of Omaha, only the interest, and I have nothing but admiration for that. You see what we all know, my friend, and Senator Mahoney knows it. They buy these elections, and that's the difficulty he and I had trying to win because they had more money. They knew how to do it. It wasn't based upon facts. They bought it. Dollar for dollar. And that's what they do, wherever they operate, 2% of the establishment, the people with the muscle, the people with the money, even in this body. They may not buy anything but they have a hell of a lot to say what we do and what we don't do, and I hope one of these days this body will reform and go back to Christ.

SPEAKER: Senator Syas, do you care to...we are still speaking on the motion now that's replaced one motion here. Senator Syas.

SENATOR SYAS: I am not, of course, going to refuse to talk to the Attorney General, which I already have, and I am going to have to go over the questions that I want to ask him and not what Fred Carstens wants to ask him. I notice there is quite a difference, but I will say this. I don't think that sending us over there is going to prove anything. For the simple reason is that if you look historically on reapportionment, the Attorney General has never got a hit yet. He's fanned out everytime he's been at the plate. So, regardless of what ruling he give us, I don't think it means anything on this one. This is the one place on the whole career of the Attorney General where he has been weak on his decision and has never been right. Thank you.