

March 7, 1973

whether or not they would have an ordinance that would exempt agricultural burning. Now as Senator Dickinson has pointed out, there are types of burning which are not considered to be agricultural and the enforcement of that, then, would become the local responsibility. Whether or not the Wyoming clause is repealed and I believe it will be, mostly at the insistence of urban people we are going to have to face the fact that air pollution standards are going to be controlled by the state, and I think that, perhaps, at this time I am still going to vote against 194 because I think that we are going to call for state enforcement of the air quality standards and if we were to pass legislation such as 194, it would require, as Senator Whitney has pointed out, action by the local county board or else the State Environmental Control Council would have to step in and do so. And I can assure you that we are not going to have that kind of action by every rural county board because we just don't have the personnel and we can't fund it. I think that this is one...one area where the state is willing to step in and save the city of Omaha fifty to a hundred thousand dollars and I think that they probably have the responsibility to do so, and I think that Omaha should be grateful. I would hope that perhaps because there seems to be some confusion yet, I would hope that maybe rather than to move on 194 this morning, we would delay action on it and give Senator Syas and myself a chance to visit about it because I sincerely do not want to hamper the agricultural sector of metropolitan Omaha, but I don't want to take an action here that might cause serious problems across the balance of the state. So, I would suggest that we just hold the bill for a day or so, Senator Syas, because I just spoke a few minutes ago to Gregg and I haven't had the chance to really dig into everything that they have in their ordinance.

SPEAKER: Senator Syas, what is your pleasure? We have two more Senators that want to speak on this if you don't want to terminate it.

SENATOR SYAS: Well, let them speak and then I'd have no objection to holding the bill because I think it does have, as I say, if you repeal the Wyoming clause, it does include all your cities and all your counties which initially it did not because of the Wyoming clause, but I have no pride of advancing it. I'll hold it up if you want to, but there is one little thing I want you to think about very seriously on this thing and that is, we could end this whole thing if we'd take all the subdivisions of government out of this thing in the state of Nebraska. Quit splintering our law enforcement and put it all under state control and state enforcement and state support. Then, we would have uniform enforcement and we wouldn't have these city, urban things on...that's going to develop on air pollution, for instance. I don't care what you do with the bill. If you pass it or not. You are going to be in this controversy all the time between counties and cities in the 3 mile limit, ½ mile limit, or whatever it is, if you don't pass 194. But, I hate to see these controversies between subdivisions of government develop and they are developing and are going to develop more so on the horizon unless we put the whole state under one unit of enforcement and financing, and I have a bill in Agriculture Committee that would do that, but I am not optimistic about getting that bill out. But, I am only saying that I hope you do do some thinking on the long range, on what's down the road. Now, if the other... if someone else wants to talk on this thing and after they do I have no...if Senator Schmit wants to hold this bill and bracket it for any particular time, I could care less. Let him make two weeks, three weeks, or whatever he wants to do, but let the other people talk before it's bracketed. I have no quarrel with bracketing the bill.