

March 3, 1973

SPEAKER: Any other unfinished business?

CLERK: None.

SPEAKER: Ready to move then to the consideration of bills on general file beginning with LB 255. Senator Kelly for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. Chairman, I move for unanimous consent to lay 255 over for one week.

SPEAKER: Senator Kelly asking for unanimous consent to lay his 255 over for one week, are there objections? Hearing none, so ordered. Bill is laid over. Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President I request to know the reason for Senator Kelly's request.

SPEAKER: Very good, Senator Kelly do you care to respond?

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, members of the body, Senator Cavanaugh, over the week end I've had a definite request from a lease holder at Sherman Lake, that this may cause serious problems in his lease hold operation, and he wanted an opportunity to talk to me and to Mr. Bill Bailey, of the games and parks commission.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: The only reason I asked Senator Kelly is that 255 has been on general file now, I think about three or four weeks, but I will not object to your motion, but I would hope that we could expedite it soon.

SENATOR KELLY: Agreed.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Thank you Senator Kelly.

SPEAKER: Thank you Senator Cavanaugh. That brings up the general file consideration of LB 219. The Clerk will read the title.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Title Read LB 219. There are no committee amendments, there is an amendment offered by Senator Chambers. Floor amendment.

SPEAKER: Is Senator Chambers in the - stand by. Senator Chambers we are looking at LB 219, and I believe you have an amendment on that particular bill. The Chair is advised that there were no committee amendments, what is your pleasure regarding the amendment there?

CLERK: I could read the amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, if you would thank you.

CLERK: Amendment (read).

SENATOR CHAMBERS: This amendment would merely clarify, it's more in the nature of a grammatical correction, I think the placement of the new language would be confusing and it would also have no effect, because for a meeting to be valid, all of the members would have to be notified. According to the way the new language had been placed there conceivably might be a legal meeting where all the members were not notified. So all this amendment does is clarify the grammatical construction of this particular sentence, so I would move the adoption of this amendment.

SPEAKER: Do you all understand the amendment? Do you want it read again? Alright the Clerk will read the amendment again.