

February 27, 1973

but I will say this. When he talks about who is to be affected by this bill, I have to wonder if he is aware of what my concern was. The people who run the risk of being injured on the job are the ones that I'm concerned about. He is concerned and, perhaps, justifiably with those who would have to pay the premium for the insurance that would provide payment for these people when they are hurt, so he and I are on different levels operating. I'm interested in the people who would be injured. He's interested in those who would have to bear the cost of paying for the injuries of those people who are working for them. Obviously, we are going to vote on whether or not this bill should be killed this morning. I don't think anything I say could change anybody's mind. I'm aware that once the champion of rural Nebraska and the agriculture interest of the state gets on his white horse with his long jowls and goes after the windmills, a barber from Nebraska...from Omaha, Nebraska doesn't have much chance, so I'm prepared to terminate any debate I have on the issue and call for the question if that meets with Senator DeCamp's approval because thus far there hasn't been a great deal of debate throughout the body. So as soon as he is prepared to vote on the issue, I am too.

SPEAKER: I trust you don't mind...Senator Kelly has been asking for the floor here. Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, members of the body, the concern I have with LB 184 is the people that are now covered by workmen's compensation are pretty well served. We have other bills coming through regarding workmen's compensation and I, respectfully, remind the members of this body that when you tamper with workmen's compensation you are actually writing an insurance policy and that's what we would be doing with LB 184. I believe its coverages are way beyond the needs, way beyond the scope of controlling under the procedures of payroll audit and workmen's compensation courts. The whole bit to me does not seem to fit the individual cases that we will have throughout the whole state.

SPEAKER: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I'd like to make just one observation here and this is that I think what we are being asked to do is something about which we really have not been provided sufficient information, and this is the real situation as it regards farm workers. I think what Senator Chambers is saying is something we need to consider and this is the fact that the farm workers too often tend to be a forgotten entity in our system of economy. I think, also, we really don't know exactly what types of coverage do affect them now. I did some calling around to some of the farmers in my area the last couple of weekends to see what particular situation with them was and I found that invariably those farmers who were employing hired men, additional workers, did have some type of coverage to provide for an injury that they might have on the job. So, I think that right now we don't have enough information to pass this bill. I don't think we really know what the situation is. I think that it would be wiser to go ahead and adopt or go ahead and indefinitely postpone the bill. I think that we should, however, continue to study this thing. I think we should make some attempt to gather additional information about this situation as it affects farm workers because we should not forget them and I hope that if this bill is indefinitely postponed that it will not be the end of this particular desire to help them, also.

SPEAKER: Senator Cal Carstens.

SENATOR CAL CARSTENS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I'm...I apologize for not getting in on the earlier debate on this bill, but upon reading the bill and realizing