

February 22, 1973

the school children as I understand it and as far as the crowding of the schools and so forth. What they would do, is that they would take this plan, they would take it to the state board of education for approval. They bring it back to the people in that school district and those people would vote as to whether they wanted it or did not want it. That's how the people are involved.

SENATOR KIME: Well that would be fair enough if the people, the parents and everybody in the district had a chance.

SENATOR BARNETT: That's right, school district, is section 8, and for you Senator Kime, I've got a little letter here. I'm going to bring it over to you and let you read it. It's from one of the superintendents of school in the Chadron Public Schools, I'd like to have you read what they want from out west.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Snyder.

SENATOR SNYDER: Mr. President and members of the body, I don't like to have to get up again, but, and gee Dad I hate to oppose you, however, this body has in effect told by school district to wait on LB 10 which is a request to allow an additional mill levy for building construction in the Omaha Public School District. I hope that the body as a whole will again not try to kill something that I believe is something that would be highly satisfactory for Omaha, I think it is satisfactory for more than Omaha, but I am being an Omaha Senator quite concerned on this point today. With the crash of buildings that we've had to have in the Omaha Public School District, we have as many portable school buildings I think on our school grounds that you will find in all the trailer courts of Lincoln. I've often wondered why school buildings were not utilized year around, I even wonder why a school buildings are not utilized after say 4:30 in the afternoon, but I'll leave that to the educators, but I do think this is a vehicle which would help alleviate some cost to the tax payer, to having to build additional buildings all the time, right now even, we've got some cases where we do have to get along with half day classes. So I hope that the body will look at this bill for what it is. I too as Senator More and Senator Syas have eluded to, I have great reservations about many things that are being done, which do indeed tear down the family structure. The family structure to me is the most basic of all structures in our society and it should be the strongest. When it goes then we are in trouble, but I don't believe that if we put in this bill that you can not attend say more than four consecutive quarters or if you would like, leave it as it is, or say that you can only go nine months out of twelve months. I agree with Senator Syas, that rushing a child through education puts an undue psychology hardship on that person, and I don't want to anyone graduate from a high school at fifteen either, but the point is, teachers today, many of them are married to someone who is in industry or has his own business, why should you be forced in essence to take your vacation period, in June, July or August. Right here in Nebraska how many people have a desire in the last few years to take their vacation period say from Christmas on into the first or second week of January, in order to follow the Orange Bowl trips. Think of the possibilities, and again why should a child be forced to spend eighteen years thinking that the only vacation period is June, July, and August, but in essence if you see an industry vacation periods run twelve months a year now, so I would site the fact that we have over crowded public school buildings in Omaha, and the fact that this bill would help us, I believe, to alleviate that to some degree and also we are here to represent the citizens and if the citizens are saying we don't want to take our vacation necessarily in those summer months, then I say lets provide them the opportunity to go as a family on their vacation in a month that is not June, July, or August. Thank you.