

February 21, 1973

we resurrect from the committee every bill that wants to be heard on the floor, then I certainly suggest that this body do away with the committee system altogether, and bring everything into the committee of the whole, set as the committee of the whole, raise the salaries of this group to two thousand dollars a month and stay here twelve months a year and hear every proposition in the open body that the people of Nebraska want to bring, that would be the alternative that I would see, to not listening to the committee's recommendations.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Murphy, then Chambers, Kime, and Cavanaugh.

SENATOR MURPHY: Senator Kelly just said what I intended to say, I think our committees need if anything to have more support from this floor, not less, that was a five to two decision, and I think committee action in killing bills will far, facilitate the time we have left to discuss other subjects on this floor. I heartily second his last comment.

SPEAKER: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the legislature, committees are not infalable, the legislature itself is not infalable. I'm the Chairman of a committee which has killed very few bills, but if the body felt that one of the issues was more serious than the members on the committee felt it to be and chose to take it from the committee, a procedure has been established in the rules to accomplish that purpose. I don't think the members of the committee ought to take it personally when there is an honest difference of opinion as to the validity of an issue and whether or not it's fate ought to be determined by seven men or by forty nine. I have a controversial measure to hear tomorrow before the Government committee and if the fate of it is contrary to what the legislature thinks, the legislature is going to do something on it's own about that particular resolution and it's not going to be taken personally by me. The committee can make a mistake, and this issue is serious enough, and involves the kind of implications I think, that would justify it's being decided by the entire legislature. I can understand all that Senator Kelly has said, but I venture to say that people who have worked on jobs that he may not have had to work on, would understand the value of a union. The advancements in wages, the curtailment of excessive hours, the payment of overtime, is, all these things result from union activity and if there are people who are going to gain the benefits of what a union does, those people ought to be willing to pay the cost. Everybody in this legislature will jump from one position to another when it's suitable to do so, on the one hand I'm sure we'll have Senator Kelly and especially Senator Murphy making comments about pay your own way. Well now when it involves paying your own way in a labor union which they may be opposed to, they want to say that is an infringement of individual rights and freedom. Right and wrong are both words that can be applied by anybody who wants to apply them to whatever they chose to apply it. This is a very grave issue, if you'd look at the makeup of the labor committee, you might see that there are people who have what could be called a natural hostility to labor and labor unions, and I can understand that their attitude toward this bill would be reflected in the decision to kill it. We should let it come to the floor of the legislature. The legislature is not bound by what a committee does, unless that committee advances a bill to the floor, but even then, the legislature I believe could probably demand that it go back for rehearing, so committees have been over ruled, there are cases where they should be over ruled and in this case I think it should be. This bill should be placed on general file.