

they get in trouble. I think they're willing to pay the costs of a regular license. I think that we should not accept the amendment with the no cost provision on it.

SPEAKER: Any further discussion on the Whitney amendment? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Would Senator Keyes yield to a question?

SPEAKER: Senator Keyes.

SENATOR KELLY: Senator do you know of any other exceptions to paying user taxes on automobiles or is this the first and would be precedent setting?

SENATOR KEYES: I couldn't answer that, I don't know.

SENATOR KELLY: I would assume that this is the first such for giving of user taxes on automobiles. I support the paraplegic plates, I support the identification, I do not support again, giving tax exemption to one particular place, setting a precedent or pretty soon the user fee is all used up in acceptance.

SPEAKER: Is there any further discussion of the Whitney amendment. Senator Snyder.

SENATOR SNYDER: Mr. President and Members of the body. On the heels of the remarks of Senator Kelly, you may recall that last year I proposed an amendment on the floor which would have provided drivers' licenses to the blind, strictly for identification purposes. Of course, it did prompt someone to write to the Public Pulse and call it the ding a ling of all ding a ling bills, I wear the title proudly. At the same time there is a lesson there and it is a lesson that was good for me and that is the old story that if you make an exemption in one place, you certainly open yourselves up to exemptions right on down the line, it's the domino theory if ever there was and none of us in this body, I am certain, are wanting to hold back

(End Belt #8)