

district. I don't know what he's doing in there but he's there. I want the bill held over until Thursday, the 16th so I can study it.

SPEAKER: Senator Mahoney is asking that the bill be held over until Thursday, the 16th. Senator Keyes, what is your pleasure?

SENATOR KEYES: Mr. President, I don't object to holding the bill over. The bill does exercise all powers and I would like to know what all powers of a city or a county are. Also, some of the problems that brought this up, La Vista sets a speed limit of 25 miles an hour and on the other side of the street it is 45 miles an hour. You're going 25 one way and 45 the other. There is a lot of jurisdiction that needs straightened out. Also, this bill now is going to open it up to other counties that have a common boundary with any city and I, I'm in favor of it, don't get me wrong, I want the thing. I realize we need, something to be taken care of. It's too bad, Senator Mahoney, that you weren't considered in this. I don't know, I know what brought it about. I don't know how Glenn Goodrich got on it except probably through the Omaha Council, I presume, but I'm in favor of this and holding it over is fine with me.

SPEAKER: Senator Mahoney is asking unanimous consent to hold the bill over. Senator Goodrich, do you object?

SENATOR GOODRICH: No, I do not object but I just am amused to the fact that Senator Mahoney doesn't even read the newspaper in his own district because it was announced about six weeks before the session was started. They had a big feature story on it so I didn't think there was any doubt in anybody's mind but what, in Omaha but what this bill was going to be introduced and I'll have to agree I have not come directly to Senator Mahoney, I didn't consider this as a bill affecting this district only. It was a bill affecting Omaha and consequently being a part of Omaha, had no reluctance to introduce the bill as it does solve a problem. Now, I have no objection and would move that it be bracketed until Thursday, is that right, Senator Mahoney? That's fine with me, we'll talk it over with Senator Mahoney, let him do all the checking he wants to because there is absolutely nothing wrong with the bill.

SPEAKER: Once again, are there any objections to bracketing the bill until Thursday. Hearing none, it is so ordered. L.B. 71 is bracketed. We will move to the general file consideration of L.B. 133. Clerk will read the title.

CLERK: Legislative Bill 133. (title read) There are no committee amendments.

SPEAKER: Chair recognizes Senator Epke.

SENATOR EPKE: Mr. President and fellow members of the Legislature, I move L.B. 133 be advanced to E&R initial. This bill, the title of it is a little bit misleading. It refers to a livestock market bond. It isn't actually a livestock market bond that we're eliminating, as some of you know, livestock markets are all bonded under Federal regulations, they come under the Packer and Stockyard Administration which is a branch of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. This bond only refers to a \$1,000.00 bond that is carried, pertains to the veterinary inspection fees. This has been on the statute for several years. It has hardly ever been necessary to use it, in fact, the Director of Agriculture says that as he thinks back, it has maybe been used once or twice in years past but since we do now have the Auction Market Law, he felt it was unnecessary to carry this particular bond. He testified at the committee hearing that he felt in his judgment we no longer needed it, it was a small \$1,000.00 bond, a sort of a nuisance bond that has to be renewed every year and he felt that it could be eliminated from the statute without being of harm to