

February 8, 1973

SPEAKER: Senator..Senator Hasebroock is asking unanimous consent to withdraw his previous motion to suspend the Rules and consider the Resolution. Are there objections to his withdrawing that motion to suspend the Rules. There are none. So ordered. Senator Hasebroock's motion now is to refer the Resolution to Committee for a hearing. Is there need for further discussion of that motion. Now then, have you heard the motion and do you understand the motion. Senator Whitney, did you wish to be heard on this at all. Senator Nore, did you wish to be heard on the motion that it be referred to a committee. Senator Carpenter. Alright, the question is shall the Resolution be referred to a Committee for a public hearing. All those in favor vote aye, all those vote no. The motion is to refer Resolution #13 for a public hearing. Have you all voted? The clerk will record.

CLERK: 33 ayes, 0 nays, 16 not voting.

SPEAKER: Thank you. Motion passes and the Resolution will be referred for public hearing. Senator Stahmer, do you wish to be recognized for a point of personal privilege?

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Senator Proud would yield to a question.

SPEAKER: Senator Proud, will you yield to a question?

SENATOR PROUD: Yes.

SENATOR STAHMER: Senator Proud, I came down here as a freshman two years ago and at that time, of course, we introduced over a thousand bills and it came to my mind more than once I thought the session kind of drug along and I said something once or twice to the Speaker. Now my conscience bothers me because we only have half as many bills but I think we are only moving half as fast as we did two years ago. You are suppose Speaker here, do you have any response as to why we might be dragging along?

SENATOR PROUD: Oh, yes. I hear that it is very beneficial that we take our time down here a little bit. I saw bills move much too fast last time without due consideration and I might remark that there probably is a reason why we haven't moved as many bills, which is all very beneficial to the public. Did we have a ten bill limit last time? But regardless of that, not two years ago. We had many more..quite a few more bills introduced, I believe, and I think this time with the ten bill limit Senators have been more selective and have been slower in introducing their bills and so I believe that that ten bill limit is the basic reason. But Senator, I wouldn't really get upset about it because we will have, perhaps, a little more time to deliberate and give the people of this state a little better break.

SPEAKER: Are there Committee reports on the desk?

CLERK: Read.

SPEAKER: The chair recognizes Speaker Proud.

SENATOR PROUD: Mr. President, I forgot one thing in answering Senator Stahmer's question which Senator Kennedy was so kind to remind me that it is a distinct possibility that the increased TV coverage of our proceedings have also slowed it up a little bit.

SPEAKER: Senator Syas, did you wish to be recognized and would it be alright for the chair to inquire for what purpose do you rise.

SENATOR SYAS: I was just going to ask the Speaker a question, if I may on procedure.