

February 1, 1973

precedent over all motions except a call of the house and shall not be subject to debate. Now a motion to adjourn is a motion to adjourn and that is all that is said, and that motion to adjourn according to our present rules would be from that time henceforth until 9:00 of the next legislative day, so you don't have to make the motion to 9:00 because, as follows, a motion to adjourn to a time certain whether you mention 9:00, 10:00 or 8:00 shall be subject to debate and amendment. Now what this actually amounts to, is if you make a motion to adjourn period, that is not subject to debate, but if you make a motion to adjourn to a time certain that is subject to amendment and debate. A motion to recess shall take precedence over either of such motion. Any motion to recess to adjourn, or to adjourn to a time certain, maybe adopted by a majority of those voting on the motion. In other words we will get away from the 25 vote situation. It will be a majority of those voting. I move the adoption.

**SPEAKER:** Is there further discussion of the rule change as explained by Senator Duis. The Chair recognizes Senator Simpson.

**SENATOR SIMPSON:** Mr. President and members of the legislature. It seems rather peculiar to me that we should let a motion just to adjourn take precedent over a motion to adjourn to a certain time. If someone first of all makes, slips in the motion to just adjourn, then there is no way to change it unless, as I read it under the rules change, unless you can get them to take that motion off, and since you can't debate it, you can't get up and ask a question or anything else, you'd have to quickly run across the room to the other person and say, we need to start to 8:00 tomorrow or we have something else that we shouldn't start until 10:00, so would you take your motion off so that we might take up some other motion. I think that regardless of what we do under this a motion to adjourn to a time certain should have the precedence on the motion before the house, it should still take precedence over just adjourning.

**SPEAKER:** The Chair recognizes Senator Syas. Then Senator Whitney.

**SENATOR SYAS:** Well Mr. President, mine is somewhat like Senator Simpson, as the thought occurred to me that if you can not, if you just say I move to adjourn and it is automatically 9:00 which I know it is, and you cannot amend it to 10:00 or 8:00 whatever you want to do, then the proper thing to do if a Senator in here wants to be sure and adjourn at 9:00, would be to take his adjournment up there at the morning of 9:05 in the morning and put it on the desk and tell the Clerk now when we run out of general file why this is my motion, so he would be up there first, then you wouldn't have a chance. In other words the fellow that's up there first, the rest of you are done, I mean, I think we should allow, I don't think adjournment should be debated, but I think that you should allow amendment to other times debated, and I think that if I put a motion up there to adjourn at the 9:00 I think that Senator Simpson should be able to come along and say I wish to amend his motion for 9:30 or 10:00 or whatever it is, otherwise I've got you all stuck, you can't do a darn thing about it, and I don't think that's fair even if I have the advantage.

**SPEAKER:** The Chair recognizes Senator Whitney.

**SENATOR WHITNEY:** Mr. President, I'd like to ask Senator Duis a question.

**SPEAKER:** Senator Duis will you yield to a question?