

January 22, 1973

SPEAKER: Yes, Senator Marsh.

CLERK: It would be on page 3 of the bill, line 10, strike the new matter and reinsert the old matter, the new matter is now shown as \$18,480.00, reinsert \$9,240.00, under the paragraph starting on line 9, personal service expenditures shall not exceed.

SPEAKER: Alright we are now discussing the Schmit amendment, is there further comment on that, Senator Syas you had your light on. Let's please restrict our remarks to pertain to the amendment.

SENATOR SYAS: I want to talk first, later on the bill, that's what I originally put my light on about, but I just want to make a comment here, to Senator Schmit's amendment. Could not Senator Schmit do this in a, in the, on another bill, why do we want to change this bill, and get away from the fact that this bill is the Governor's bill, is his recommendation isn't it, I'm talking about the budget bill, well it's still the Governor's endorsed bill as I understand it, Senator Marvel?

SPEAKER: Senator Marvel.

SENATOR MARVEL: Our staff contacted the Executive Staff and got the assurance from the Executive Staff that the recommendations in here have the approval of the Executive Branch, and as I indicated I'm not interested in introducing the deficiency appropriations that do not have this recommendation.

SENATOR SYAS: So it's the Governor's bill. The Governor recommends these items, is that correct?

SENATOR MARVEL: That's correct.

SENATOR SYAS: Well then what was wrong with what I said to begin with, of course you didn't take acceptance to it. What I want is if the Governor is making quite a bit of talk about financing in this state and that's his job, I want him to, when he recommends things, I want him to live with it, and this is one place you can get him to live with this one. I want the expenditure of \$273,000.00 placed on him, even though they may be meritorious. I want the people to know that his, this is to his expenditure. I see nothing wrong with that.

SPEAKER: Senator Whitney.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Mr. President I'm going to oppose this amendment to the bill as I understand the Governor supports this and the board came to me and asked me if we couldn't have an increase in appropriation, so they could have a full time man. The money was already there, it's already there and they didn't ask for it at the beginning of the last, during the last session of the legislature, so we didn't give it to them. Had they asked for it, we would have given it to them because it's a cash fund, and so I feel that we ought to leave this in, if Senator Schmit wants to come back later on for more money, then that's up to him, of course this was Senator Schmit's bill to start with and I didn't vote for it, I felt it was a lot of foolishness, but now that the legislature has voted for it, I think we better fund it and give it a chance to go ahead, therefore, I'm against doing this amendment.

SPEAKER: Senator Keyes did you have a question?

SENATOR KEYES: Well Mr. President we did vote for this bill a year ago, we supported it, and as a legislative body I believe it is our responsibility if we don't like the way the departments are going there is one way of making ourselves effective, and that is to cut down on their funds and do what is right. We do not rubber stamp any department of this Government, at least I don't pretend to and I don't think just because the Governor or anybody else does that, that we should do that, so