

January 16, 1973

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner, then Senator Keyes, then Senator Carpenter, then Senator Chambers.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President I speak to support the rules change. The type of resolution that I believe that Senator Syas referred to if I recall correctly was one dealing with a change in the amendment (inaudible) constitution which under the rules would be treated normally as we have known resolutions in the past, again the type of situation that Senator Kennedy brings up one which has some particular significance through a suspension of the rules it could be taken up and voted upon. As I understood the rules change it was to, cut down the time that some of the resolutions which we have presented recognizing some particular distinguished act or some person who has performed some service, rather than spend a great deal of time in those resolutions, that they were still properly recognized, by being introduced to 25 people at least signing them, and I don't think that the problems that have been proposed or suggested is inherent in his rules changes practice what exists we would still be able to save some time during the course of the session and we would not have to repeat. Now we've had one of these resolutions, the Senator watched it, very easily got all 49, I do think we need a requirement of at least 25 people signing it, because otherwise, even if it's sent with one or two or five names, the impression by the recipient would be that this was adopted by the legislature and it may well not have been, but as long as 25 people sign, then a majority are in concurrence and can be appropriately forwarded to whoever it was addressed.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Keyes, then Senator Carpenter, then Senator Chambers.

SENATOR KEYES: Mr. President, I rise to support what Senator Duis is trying to do in this resolution of his or whatever you wish to call it. The Legislature stopped some time ago, not very long ago in permitting the introduces of resolutions in the legislature from discussing it on the floor. Now when we did this we did it for one thing. That was to speed up, we want to get rid of reading bills (inaudible) and speed up the time we are down here, so we can get a lot more done. This is one thing that I can't understand, I was accused of introducing this resolution that I have simple for political halo. Now every politician that's down here, every Senator that's down here, has a political crown upon his head, it's either a crown of thorn or a crown of roses and the people in this legislature and the people that you represent will take that crown and smash it down on your head if it's a crown of thorns and if it's a crown of roses they'll stand in there and pick up the buds and say how glad I am you did this. I think when we eliminated the process of whereby we discussed the resolution on the floor of this legislature we cut down the efficiency and we cut down the meaning of the resolution. Thank you.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Mr. President, I'd like to attempt to amend the rule by amending the rule to say that all resolutions are referred to the executive council for reference to a committee hearing and

(End of Belt #3)