

LB 7 LB 7  
January 10, 1973

until I can, others if they want to can get a better understanding what's in, not only the amendment but the bill.

SPEAKER: Chair recognizes Senator Skarda.

SENATOR SKARDA: Mr. President and members of the body, I'm in concurrence with Senator Carpenter. This is a highly controversial issue from the stand point of the cosmetologist and then not along the barbers, but I think we should get involved cosmetology board let them review the contents and hold this bill for awhile until the complete satisfaction of everybody, because this reaches in an area where I've been in before and especially we are all aware what the circumstances are involving this piece of legislation.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers. We are still speaking on the Goodrich amendment here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the legislature, as a barber I try to generally stay out of discussions relating to barbering, but I have to go along with what Senator Carpenter said and add this in addition, as one with experiences working as a barber with barbers, barbers as a whole are some of the most disorganized backward people that you can find. They are more disorganized than this legislature on occasion and as Senator Carpenter said, it's best to find out what they want and make sure that they are saying what they want before you enact any kind of legislation. As I say I will not introduce a barber bill even though I'm a barber, they can't get together on wages, they can't get together on hours, barbers work too many days and too many hours. In one shop you may find one man charging a different price than the other one, so until barbers themselves can bring some kind of order into their own house, I think the legislature should sit and be a careful observer. So I think what Senator Carpenter has suggested is the action that the legislature should follow.

SPEAKER: Senator Skarda.

SENATOR SKARDA: I move we bracket the bill for a week.

SPEAKER: There is a motion to bracket LB 5 for a week. If you are in favor of the motion please say aye, those opposed say no. Very good. Bracketed for a week. Move to the general file consideration of LB 7.

CLERK: Title Read LB 7.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Simpson.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President and members of the legislature, I move to advance LB 7 to E & R for review. The purpose of LB 7 is to change the bond requirements for county commissioner to supervisor in harmony with opinion of the attorney general dated December 19, 1972, which said that nothing in the title of LB 1032 covered the changes in section 11-119 and was unconstitutional. It merely adopts a change made in section 11-119 of 1032 if the legislature passes bill 7 section now read would be constitutional.

SPEAKER: Is there any further discussion on the bill. The Chair recognizes Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Yes, some further discussion on the bill and I'm sorry Senator Luedtke isn't here. During the course of the years I have watched the bonding of officials of state government and I have wondered why some of them are bonded at all. And for the amounts that are incurred and I notice some in here are bonded for the amount of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars. I'm not talking about the new amendment, I'm talking about the actual statute