

PREPARED BY: Doug Nichols
 DATE PREPARED: January 17, 2013
 PHONE: 402-471-0052

LB 51

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE
LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)				
	FY 2013-14		FY 2014-15	
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE
GENERAL FUNDS				
CASH FUNDS				
FEDERAL FUNDS				
OTHER FUNDS				
TOTAL FUNDS				

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

This bill would change provisions relating to publication of court opinions.

The Supreme Court estimates no fiscal impact at this time. The Court notes that the bill does not require any change in the current practice of publishing opinions. The Court's response follows and provides more details.

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES-STATE BUDGET DIVISION: REVIEW OF AGENCY & POLT. SUB. RESPONSES			
LB: LB 51	AM:	AGENCY/POLT. SUB: Supreme Court (005)	
REVIEWED BY: Joe Wilcox		DATE: January 21 st , 2013	PHONE: (402) 471-4178
COMMENTS: No basis to dispute agency analysis that there is no immediate fiscal impact on the Supreme Court at this time, but future cost efficiencies (undetermined at this time) may be gained.			

Please complete ALL (5) blanks in the first three lines.

2013

LB⁽¹⁾ 51 FISCAL NOTE

State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: ⁽²⁾ Supreme Court

Prepared by: ⁽³⁾ Eric Asboe Date Prepared: ⁽⁴⁾ 1/16/13 Phone: ⁽⁵⁾ 1-4138

ESTIMATE PROVIDED BY STATE AGENCY OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISION

	<u>FY 2013-14</u>		<u>FY 2014-15</u>	
	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>REVENUE</u>	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>REVENUE</u>
GENERAL FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
CASH FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
FEDERAL FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
OTHER FUNDS	_____	_____	_____	_____
TOTAL FUNDS	=====	=====	=====	=====

Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier.

Explanation of Estimate:

LB 51 provides authorization for the Supreme Court to publish the opinions of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals in print or electronic format or both. There is no fiscal impact at this time since LB 51 does not require that the current practice of printed opinions cease or that electronic publishing be adopted. The Supreme Court has appointed a committee to make recommendations regarding the most efficient and cost-effective means of publishing court opinions. LB 51 provides the flexibility to implement any final recommendations adopted by the Supreme Court.

MAJOR OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURE

Personal Services:

<u>POSITION TITLE</u>	<u>NUMBER OF POSITIONS</u>		<u>2013-14</u>	<u>2014-15</u>
	<u>13-14</u>	<u>14-15</u>	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Benefits.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Operating.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Travel.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Capital outlay.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Aid.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
Capital improvements.....	_____	_____	_____	_____
TOTAL.....	_____	_____	_____	_____