

Doug Nichols March 27, 2012 402-471-0052

LB 310

Revision: 03 FISCAL NOTE LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

Updated for amendments adopted through March 27, 2012.

| ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES * | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|--|--|
| | FY 2011-12 | | FY 2012-13 | | | |
| - | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE | | |
| GENERAL FUNDS | | | | | | |
| CASH FUNDS | | | | | | |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | | | |
| OTHER FUNDS | | | | | | |
| TOTAL FUNDS | | | | | | |

*Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.

This bill, as amended, would change provisions relating to protection orders.

The Supreme Court estimates no significant fiscal impact and their response follows:

LB 310, as amended, would not have a significant impact on judicial resources or workload. The changes in the definition of "credible threat" have the potential of increasing the number of protection orders issued. However, the elimination of the requirement that the court schedule a mandatory hearing in certain domestic abuse cases has the potential of decreasing the number of hearings. The net effect results in a fiscal impact that is not estimated to be significant.

The Department of Correctional Services (DCS) stated that the fiscal impact cannot be determined and their response follows:

Fiscal Impact - Indeterminable.

The impact on NDCS related to future admissions is not determinable.

The FY 11 per diem (cost per day of feeding, clothing, housing, medical, etc.) for an individual inmate was \$5,799 per year.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

| REVIEWED BY | Joe Wilcox | 3/13/12 | PHONE 471-2526 | | |
|--|------------|---------|----------------|--|--|
| COMMENTS | | | | | |
| SUPREME COURT – No basis to dispute agency analysis. | | | | | |
| | 5, , | | | | |

| Please complete <u>ALL</u> (5) blanks in the first three lin | | | lines. | MAR 1 3 2012 | | 2012 |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| LB ⁽¹⁾ | 310, AM2259 | FISCAL NOTE | LEGISLATIVE FISCAL | | | |
| State Ag | ency OR Politic | al Subdivision Name: ⁽²⁾ | Supreme Court | | | |
| Prepare | d by: ⁽³⁾ Eri | c Asboe | _ Date Prepared: ⁽⁴⁾ | 3/9/12 | Phone: ⁽⁵⁾ | 1-4138 |
| | | ESTIMATE PROVIDI | E <u>D BY STATE AGEN</u> | <u>ICY OR POLIT</u> | <u>FICAL SUBDIVIS</u> | [ON |
| FY 2019 | | 2-2013 FY 2013 | | <u>FY 2013-2</u> | 2014 | |
| | | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE | EXPEN | DITURES | <u>REVENUE</u> |
| GENER | AL FUNDS | | | | | |
| CASH F | UNDS | | | | | |
| FEDER | AL FUNDS | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| OTHER | FUNDS | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| TOTAL | FUNDS | | <u></u> | | | |

RECEIVED

<u>Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier.</u> Explanation of Estimate:

LB 310, as amended, would not have a significant impact on judicial resources or workload. The changes in the definition of "credible threat" have the potential of increasing the number of protection orders issued. However, the elimination of the requirement that the court schedule a mandatory hearing in certain domestic abuse cases has the potential of decreasing the number of hearings. The net effect results in a fiscal impact that is not estimated to be significant.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | MAJOR OBJEC | CTS OF EXPENI | DITURE | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Personal Services: | | | | |
| | NUMBER OF POSITIONS | | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 |
| POSITION TITLE | <u>12-13</u> | <u>13-14</u> | EXPENDITURES | EXPENDITURES |
| | | | | |
| Dama 64a | | | | |
| Benefits | | | | |
| Operating | •• | | · | ······ |
| Travel | | | | |
| Capital outlay | • | | | |
| Aid | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Capital improvements | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | |