

Paw

PREPARED BY: Scott Danigole
DATE PREPARED: January 18, 2011
PHONE: 471-0055

LB 101

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES *

	FY 2011-12		FY 2012-13	
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE
GENERAL FUNDS				
CASH FUNDS				
FEDERAL FUNDS				
OTHER FUNDS				
TOTAL FUNDS				

*Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.

LB 101 eliminates daylight saving time for Nebraska.

The Department of Administrative Services reports that they anticipate no fiscal impact from the bill's provisions.

It should be noted that there may be unanticipated costs associated with elimination of daylight savings time. For example, many computer systems automatically switch the time reference to account for the time change. In such cases the system will have to be manually readjusted or perhaps reprogrammed to *not* make the time shift.

There is no direct fiscal impact anticipated for state agencies.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

REVIEWED BY	David J. Spatz	DATE	1/18/11	PHONE	471-4179
COMMENTS					
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES: Concur with Administrative Services analysis and estimate of minimal, if any, fiscal impact relative to the agency.					
SECRETARY OF STATE: Concur with agency analysis relative to the Secretary of State.					

2011 Legislative Bill Proposal Fiscal Note

RECEIVED
JAN 18 2011
LEGISLATIVE FIN

Bill #: 101

State Agency: Administrative Services

Prepared by: Ann Martinez Date Prepared: 01/13/11 Phone: 471-4135

Approved by:

Estimate of Fiscal Impact – State Agencies

	FY 2011-12		FY 2012-13	
	Expenditures	Revenue	Expenditures	Revenue
General Funds				
Cash Funds				
Federal Funds				
Other Funds				
Total Funds	0	0	0	0

Explanation of Estimate: The State of Nebraska elects to reject daylight saving time as permitted by the Uniform Time Act of 1966, and elects to continue in force standard time in the state.

Office lighting at State Building Division facilities is determined by occupancy and not by outside daylight so there is no predicted impact from eliminating daylight savings time. External lighting on SBD property is typically controlled by daylight sensors and operate with no regard to time, so eliminating daylight savings time would have no impact on external lighting.

In addition this change would require careful planning by information technology teams, because so many IT systems utilize internal system clocks that must reflect the accurate date and time. In some cases there will be less work, if a positive action is currently necessary to change the system clock to reflect the switch to and from daylight savings time. In other cases, positive action will be necessary to correct an automated switch to and from daylight savings time. Because this work would be done with existing staff, and the level of effort is not expected to be very large, there would be no fiscal impact on the Office of the CIO.

All other Divisions of Administrative Services would have no impact as well.

LB101 would have minimal if any impact on Administrative Services.

Major Objects of Expenditure

Personal Services:

Position Title:	Number of Positions		2011-12		2012-13	
	11-12	12-13	Expenditures		Expenditures	
Benefits						
Operating						
Travel						
Capital Outlay						
Aid						
Capital Improvements						
TOTAL	0	0	0		0	

FISCAL NOTE LB101

Secretary of State

JAN 18 2011

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL

Prepared By	Hinzman, Suzanne
Date Prepared	1/18/2011
Prepared Phone	402-471-2384

Estimate Provided By State Agency or Political Subdivision

	FY 2011-2012		FY 2012-2013	
	Expenditures	Revenue	Expenditures	Revenue
General Funds				
Cash Funds				
Federal Funds				
Other Funds				
Total Funds	0	0	0	0

Explanation of Estimate:

This bill has no fiscal impact for the Secretary of State.

Major Objects of Expenditure

Position Title	Number of Positions		FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013
	FY 2011-2012	FY 2012-2013	Expenditures	Expenditures