

Hearing Date: February 15, 2007 Committee On: Revenue

Introducer(s): (Nelson) **Title:** Adopt the Entertainment and Tourism Development Act

Roll Call Vote – Final Committee Action:

Advanced to General File

Advanced to General File with Amendments

X Indefinitely Postponed

Vote Results:

8 Yes

Senators Burling, Cornett, Dierks, Janssen, Langemeier, Preister, Raikes and White

- 0 No
- 0 Present, not voting
- 0 Absent

Proponents:	Representing:
Senator John Nelson	Introducer
Steve Minard	Wild Escape Theme Park
James Kaiser	Heartland Properties, Inc. rep. Wild Escape
	Theme Parks
Don Herz	City of Lincoln
Fred Uhe	Sarpy County Board
Carol Ebdon	City of Omaha
Lynn Rex	League of Nebraska Municipalities
Jack Cheloha	City of Omaha
Opponents: None	Representing:
Neutral: None	Representing:

Summary of purpose and/or changes:

LB 697 would have allowed cities and counties to establish Entertainment and Tourism Development Districts. A district was required to be no larger than 200 acres within the boundaries of a municipality and 400 acres outside municipalities. A state board approved such

districts and then the city or county could have approved a project within the district that was to increase tourism traffic within the district. The project must promise at least 75 new jobs and at least \$20 million of new investment. If the project did not achieve or maintain the required number of jobs or investment, the project had to reimburse the city and state for any financial assistance in proportion to the shortfall.

The city or county could have requested financial assistance from the state to support infrastructure needed to develop the project. The state assistance was to be up to 75 percent of state sales tax and must have included 100 percent of all local sales tax collected within the project not including preexisting businesses for the life of the bonds, not to exceed 30 years. For the first five years, the financial assistance also included up to 75 percent of state sales tax and 100 percent of local sales tax collected by existing hotels within 2,000 feet of the district. The financial assistance and other income streams available to the city or county could be pledged to secure the bonds issued to provide the infrastructure development for the project.

If the district were located in or adjacent to one or more census tracts where at least 20 percent of the residents were determined to be in poverty, there was a requirement for a community partnership plan to redevelop the neighborhood and provide housing, cultural and educational facilities. The partnership plan was to be overseen by a community council of neighborhood residents or community leaders. Twenty-five percent of all financial assistance was to be used by the city or county to carry out the community partnership plan.

LB 697 also allowed counties to establish a local sales tax that would apply only in the district, the proceeds of which could have been included in the financial assistance. The usual notice and voting procedures applied. Cities and counties could have condemned property for the public infrastructure but were prohibited from using eminent domain to acquire property that would ultimately end up in private hands.

LB 697 contained a general authority to pledge the revenue.

Explanation of amendments, if any:

Senator Ray Janssen, Chairperson