

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 28, 2003

LB 743

Senator Synowiecki is that the intent? Do you want to simply identify those 501(c), whatever they might be, Senator?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Synowiecki, would you respond?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Yes. Specifically, the amendment alludes to the Internal Revenue Code, 501, which is alluding to 501(c)(3) organizations that are...

SENATOR MINES: Right.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...legitimate nonprofit organizations recognized by the federal government.

SENATOR MINES. And I would guess there's no intent to exclude those small organizations that haven't had the time or money to set up their own not-for-profit, but simply are a neighborhood group, perhaps, of people that want to do some good?

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator, they would not be a part of the exemptions with this amendment, if it's adopted.

SENATOR MINES: Okay.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: I don't want to speak for Senator Smith, but I think his general intent was to get after the commercial for-profit telemarketers that are continually and constantly calling, harassing, getting individuals to buy products or whatever it might be. My personal experience is that these 501(c)(3) organizations, for the most part, that I'm aware of, Senator Mines, are not the bad actors here,...

SENATOR MINES: I agree.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: ...and that it is the for-profit commercial solicitors that are the problem, and I think the underlying general intent of Senator Smith and Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR MINES: Well, you know, again, I think you're on the right path. And I think there's a lot of well-intentioned discussion going on. But I sense, as a layperson, that all the