

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 8, 2003

LB 759

industry was doing poorly right now, then there would be a vociferous outcry that we ought not to do this because it's a failing industry, or because it's an industry in hard times, such as the ag industry. That's the argument we've heard many times on the floor in that regard. So if you can't make this change because they're an industry doing poorly, and you can't make this change because they're an industry doing very, very well right now, where are you left? I guess you just can't do anything at all, can you, to any industry? Because they're either doing poorly or they're doing really well. So that argument, I think, doesn't help us face the situation. The other argument, again, is the all or nothing argument. Yeah, we'd be glad to do it if everybody were taxed, all services were taxed, but then, when you try to tax all services, you have so much accumulated opposition that there's no way to do anything. You're paralyzed. So you can't do it all, and you can't do it piece by piece. So, once again, you end up doing nothing at all, when almost everybody would agree that one of the major problems with the Nebraska tax system is its failure to be broad enough to include more things so that the burden of the tax is spread out over more people. There are reasons why certain services were picked and others were not. I wish the Revenue Committee would kind of go into some detail on that and explain that. But I know, for example, that certain services aren't taxed because they can easily move from one side of the Missouri River to the other. They can do business in ways that may involve a substantial loss of business in that area if you choose to tax their service. We may not like that, we may not think it's entirely fair, but it's a practical reason why a distinction may have to be made between the taxing of one service...why of taxing one service but not taxing another. Senator Redfield knows that. She's on the committee. There are all kinds of distinctions that make a practical difference to us. And you can get into, even within this industry, should we tax commercial but not residential? And then, if you do that, somebody will say, well, you're picking on the rich, or if you do it the other way you're picking on the poor. I think you have to look at things in balance, and if you can't tax this industry that's prospered mightily in this depression era, this is the one industry that on the basis of its prosperity you should argue they can bear the burden. Now is the time to do