

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 3, 2003 LR 11

in order to directly contradict the proceed language in the petition. The language stated that the proceeds of gaming authorized under this subsection shall be used for such purposes that are determined by the Legislature. There were people pointed out to me that the term "proceeds" is broad and it could include all proceeds from the gaming, so this amendment takes care of this technical concern by changing the term "proceeds" to revenues generated by the state regulation and taxation. This takes care of the concern, yet makes it clear. It includes licensing fees, registration fees, taxation and so on, so forth. So this is a technical amendment to the amendment yesterday that we passed. With that, hopefully your support of this, it just makes it clear that we would not be taking all of the proceeds from those establishments. Thank you. If there's any questions, I'd be glad to answer them.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Janssen. You've heard the opening on the amendment. Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. I was wondering if Senator Janssen would yield to a question.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Certainly.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Senator Janssen, if we do not adopt M1158 and the vote of the people on LR 11CA is successful, the state gets to keep all of the revenue that comes from those casinos?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Questionable, Senator. Questionable. This makes it clear that we would receive just the taxation portion of that.

SENATOR ERDMAN: So the argument could be made that if we leave it as it is we have a better chance of generating revenue for the state?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Well, you probably wouldn't have any in the state. That is quite possible.