

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 27, 2003 LB 479

information and when we, hopefully, are in a little better shape on the farm side.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Cunningham. Is Senator Cunningham in the...did you waive your...thank you, Senator Cunningham. Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Senator Cudaback and members. We are getting information, I...on the public participation of these plants is overwhelming. I know the people out in the lobby don't...apparently they didn't have this information. I don't know why they didn't have it. It's available from the Ethanol Board. Public participation is way ahead of ag production participation. I'm getting...and that's without talking about TIF, LB 775, LB 620, and block grants. You put those in there and you got way out of kilter millions and millions of dollars. I was handed a sheet from DED here. Funded block grants, Blair in Cargill, \$920,000 block grant money. That doesn't show up on the handout I have here. It already shows we're \$12 million out of balance. There's \$920,000 at Blair in Cargill; \$505,000, Sutherland Associates. These are funded already, public funding. Minden, KAAPA has applied for \$500,000; Lexington, \$500,000; Gering plant, \$500,000; Ravenna, \$500,000; and Trenton, \$500,000. That's on top of TIF financing, LB 775 and LB 620. My goodness, if they want to keep this thing equal, we ought to get rid of all the General Fund funding out of this bill and put it all on a checkoff. They better be careful what they're asking for here; they may get it. So this argument that we want to make 50/50 funding by the ag community is clear out of whack, I mean millions of dollars, and if we do want to make it that then we're probably going to pull the million and a half that's in there out of General Fund now that's in the bill and the intent for the years down the road, so...and that would help even things up. If we want to go there, I guess we, you know, balance things out, we got to get rid of some of these other public funding entities and not make them subject to TIF and so on, et cetera. So this argument that people are trying to make that we make this 50/50, facts, I mean these are facts, they just don't hold any water, ag contributions. I also need to point out that the original ethanol incentive program was funded by the wheat growers, of all people. In 1988 and '89, we