

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 13, 2003 LR 16

place...and I'm not sure about this, Senator Smith. I think that somebody would have to be elected to the Legislature who is a member of a political subdivision, who works for a political subdivision. And then if somebody really wanted to get an answer to this question, somebody would have to challenge that and take it to court.

SENATOR SMITH: As an already elected member?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay. Now, it's my understanding that the challenge would occur from someone basically suing to make sure that that elected member could not serve. So...Senator Chambers, would you yield to some questions?

SENATOR CHAMBERS. Yes, I will.

SENATOR SMITH: Now, you indicated that you would suggest a statute, you know, a regular bill, not a constitutional amendment, but a statute be introduced setting out these same provisions. Is that accurate?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That would be the approach. Not saying I would agree to go along with it, but that would be the way I think you should do something like this, or attempt it.

SENATOR SMITH: And so if someone from the general public wanted to challenge the election of a member, they could do so. Is that accurate?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: So the member would be responsible for defending himself or herself.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. And usually...let's say that the court would decide that this employee of the political subdivision could not at the same time be a member of the Legislature. Rather than oust the person from the Legislature, the court usually gives the person a chance to select which position he