

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 3, 2003 LB 72

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Since you're representing the committee today, the vote on the underlying amendment and the bill, is that what's represented in the...in our vote count? Is...that represents the bill as amended, or is that the original bill?

SENATOR CONNEALY: The bill as amended. I think the vote for the amendment was, if not unanimous, it was close to unanimous on the amendment.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: So the vote as we see it is the bill as amended.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Speaker and members, I guess maybe I shouldn't even be discussing this. As most of you know, I'm so pro-fair that it's kind of like asking a kid if he likes candy. We should support the fair. I've always said that the state of Nebraska is one of the few states that don't come outright and support it even more than we do. We give very little General Fund money to the fair. And I don't think that's really fair for us not to support it wholeheartedly. Now, the three-year sunset on the committee amendment, that's probably fair. Because if it doesn't work in three years...no pun intended there...but if it doesn't work in three years, then it should do away with it. Three years is a substantial amount of time to get the checkoffs working. And if it doesn't work, well, then let's do away with it. But the fair itself, this doesn't cost anybody any dollars, as I understand it. I'd like to ask Senator Vrtiska a question.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Vrtiska, would you yield, please?

SENATOR VRTISKA: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Vrtiska, if this does happen and we do elect to have this checkoff, would this be in lieu of any