

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 4, 2002

LB 647

proposing this to just clarify the statue (sic) in regards to the at-large candidates that will be up for election in the ESU units. Thank you, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: The Chair of the Education Committee, Senator Raikes, you're recognized to open on the committee amendments.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. Senator Stuhr actually addressed her comments to the committee amendment. I think she has explained them very well so I will just add that I support the committee amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: We're now debating the committee amendments. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I just have a quick comment and a quick question and, Senator Stuhr, I think this is in line with what we've done in a couple of other instances this year in defining really what vacancies are. And so I think this is being consistent with what we're doing in some of the other places in our statutes, so I do support it. But I want to clarify that in this case, when "Candidate Brown" from County "C" is not chosen because there is a vacancy, that person who fills the vacancy still has to come from County "C". Is that correct?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes. Yes, I...yes, I believe so. We are most concerned about the County "A" that had the three candidates, and the two candidates with the highest number in that county would be elected. But, yes, County "C" would still be...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That would be where that...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...vacancy would be filled from, would be County "C", right?

SENATOR STUHR: Um...