

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2002

LB 470

didn't expand, and ultimately take some of the monies that were out there. There's money right now coming from the trust fund to pay for some of these officials. We don't want to expand that number and, through the back door, take even more money away from the roads construction, maintenance and those sorts of things to pay for state patrol officers. And so, how do we do that? The amendment that I have before you...and by the way, it is being redrafted. I think Senator Bromm and I know Senator Jones have an interest in this and I believe have signed off on the amendment. But what the amendment does is it takes the full-time equivalent positions are going to be limited to the 88 people that was talked about in the fiscal note and on the floor of the Legislature. And as those...if that's the number, then we need to freeze that, and this amendment freezes those through June 30, 2005. Now also what it does it sets up a procedure for the state patrol to account for all the appropriations and expenditures relating to the staffing and operation of the weigh stations, the portable scales, and the performance of the carrier enforcement duties, as a budget program, so they can't have just one pot of money and move money within that program. This is a separate program that would allow for the accounting procedures to be done. It allows a separate budget to be used for noncarrier enforcement activities, and so there'll be a way to set and go through an account, and you can see as a Legislature. And so, in five years when most all of us will probably not be here in five to six years, those people can go back and say, look, is there some way to account where we were in 2002 when we moved those 88 people over? And that's what this amendment does. It's a provision in there that I think, one, freezes everything in place, and during tough budget times they're probably not going to do it anyway, but at least through the fiscal year ending in 2005, it freezes that number at 88. And starting from now on, there's a separate line of accounting so that if someone wants to go back and look and account for those things, they can do so. I would hope that you would look at this amendment as a helpful amendment because I think it is. If this amendment is adopted, I certainly would support the bill at that point in time because it's apparent to me that there's probably a sufficient number of votes. There is another amendment, Mr. President, that's coming down. At this point I'm going to