

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 20, 2002 LB 1310

Appropriations Committee, had to make a decision early on as to whether I was going to go along with dipping into all of these different trust funds. We're...we're taking money from a number of cash funds and we're taking money from the area of health and we're taking money from the area of the environment, but the pain does have to go around and we all have to stretch a little bit in this situation I think to get us through with some reasonable balance of cuts and revenue raising. And so I'm against Senator Chambers' amendment and I'm going to be against any other amendments that are on the basis that we shouldn't be dipping into trust funds. I think the question we need to ask is, in each case, is it reasonable to do this in each instance, and I hope that that is the practical question that we will ask and...and that question applies in this instance also. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Schrock, followed by Senator Chambers.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I do feel compelled to discuss this issue a little bit. When I found out that the Governor's proposal took \$6 million from the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program it did raise a red flag for me. Senator Bromm spoke on it and Senator Beutler, who's very familiar with the program, has just completed speaking on it. I did introduce a bill that would have lowered the fee that we tax gasoline and diesel fuel that goes into the LUST Fund because, if we have \$30 million in the LUST Fund maybe we've raised too much money, but that's probably not the case. I don't personally like to see large accounts like that, the state have large, because I don't think we should be bankers. If we're going to collect money for cleaning up underground storage tanks we...we should be using it for that. Having said that, a lot of the programs are in their initial stage and the actual cleanup, where a lot of the money is going to be spent, won't happen until from this point on. So there is a place for the money and I think, prudently, the Governor's proposal did leave \$24 million left in that program. And we did have meetings with the Department of Environmental Quality, the committee did, and we were convinced by them that the program could be carried out without much interruption. So, reluctantly, we thought