

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2001 LB 620

says if you're going to bring this many good paying jobs and you don't get the credits unless those jobs actually occur, that that's good for the state. It is an investment. It is not a surefire thing. I think it's a good investment, I think it's reasonably conservative. But the other portion of the Wickersham amendment that I'm uncomfortable about is the actual which model do we use? Do you use a combination? As you know, we've got REMI. What's the next best one out there? I don't know. When do we do this? What happens if we have a Chair that isn't as benevolent as Senator Wickersham or Senator Wehrbein? What happens if we get a Chair who has a political agenda and who wants to direct those? I'm uncomfortable with the use of those in the actual workings of whether a project has a yes or a no. I'm not uncomfortable about them saying, here are your options and generally here's what this policy is doing. That's the reason we don't have a specific bill that said, I write a check to Senator Chambers, LLC, in the amount of \$5,000. Because that is a direct grant, we can't do that, we shouldn't do that. So what we do is set up general policies. The existing models measure those policies and how they work and what direction they take us.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Coordsen, I'll...that's my response and if you want more questions, I'd be glad to do it. That's the reason I'm uncomfortable with the amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Coordsen, your light is next.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. Well, I guess I'm a little puzzled. As I shared with you last week, historically I have not been a staunch supporter of any of the economic development packages that we've had before this body. The reason that I've been able to support LB 620, as I came to know the various parts of the bill and how they had the potential for affecting various areas of the state of Nebraska, was because we had the resources available to us to, in fact, do an analysis. An analysis isn't a factual spreadsheet, it is a best guess based upon the available