

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2001 LB 773

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that other one that they don't use, and they drove him to Carnegie Hall. And they said, now, "88 Keys"...did you say one minute?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Yes, I did.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then I will give the time back to the Chair and continue when I turn my light on.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: The 50 seconds? You're going to return that 50 seconds to the Chair?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Microphone malfunction) anybody behind me?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then I'll return it.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Bromm, on the Chambers motion to reconsider.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay, thank you very much, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, and I just wanted...the body here was pretty empty when a lot of the prior discussion occurred and there's a few more people here now so I want to try to, again, repeat what the question is here so we know for sure what we're talking about. Senator Chambers probably made it very clear. I just want to reiterate that. We're talking about the language that says that if a person is placed on probation and the court orders that person, as part of that probation, not to drive for a period of 60 days, the practice in many places is that the court takes possession of that little card--the driver's license--and retains that for the 60-day period. Senator Kruse's language that Senator Chambers is attempting to remove then says, as part of that order, the judge would suspend the license of the defendant for a like period of time, or 60 days. Now, there is a difference there. If the license is suspended, not only does the court take the license but then a copy of that order goes into the Department of Motor Vehicles and, on their records, that license is suspended. And if that person then is...is