

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2001 LB 193

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: Thank you, Senator Redfield. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, let me try to make as clear as I can what it is that I have in mind. Under the green copy, the business people must be there as a majority before business can be conducted. The committee amendment struck that from the green copy. As long as that was the status of the bill, I was leaving it alone. I was not commenting. I was not going to fight it. The body then accepted Senator Redfield's amendment which would restore that provision in the green copy where business people have to be a majority. What I have said, if you leave the Redfield amendment on you have returned the bill to the green copy, which is a form I disagree with. If the Redfield amendment is stricken, then you have the committee amendment which says that the provision in the green copy requiring business people to be a majority will be erased. If you take away the Redfield amendment, you have your work force program as amended by the committee amendment which would say that you don't have to have a majority of business people there to conduct business provided you have a quorum based on the total number of people present. What I had said in terms of killing the bill is if the Redfield amendment stays then you have kept in place the requirement in the green copy that business people must constitute a majority of those present or you can't conduct business. If that is the form that the bill is going to be in then I don't see a basis for keeping the bill. If the Redfield amendment is stricken, I have no further interest in the bill in terms of trying to delay it or kill it. What brought me up here was the adoption of the Redfield amendment. I didn't comment when she made her motion because I thought there was no way in the world that the body would accept it. Had I thought that it was being taken seriously, then I would have made my comments. Once it became clear to me, because I do follow the activities when I'm in my office, that the amendment of Senator Redfield was adopted, I had to come up here to try to undo that if possible, and if that is impossible then kill this bill and at a future date cooler heads can prevail and perhaps something can be put into the statute which is not along the lines of what Senator Redfield would have us to do. What I have pending now is a motion to