

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 6, 2001 LB 193

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In order to make clear what I said, my point is to try to remove the Redfield amendment. If that is not done, then the committee amendments reflect what is in the green copy and I would then try to strip away the committee amendment because then it would be easier for me to kill the bill. With your amendment, we have what was originally in the green bill, which is a provision that I don't accept.

SENATOR REDFIELD: All right. Thank you, Senator. Senator Chambers' intent is to kill the bill without the committee amendments. I think you have to ask yourself the question whether that is your intent or if you think that work force development is a good project. I think it's a good policy decision. The federal government has abolished the Training Act which wasn't successful, which replaced another Training Act which wasn't successful, which replaced another labor act which wasn't successful. We're trying to do things differently here. We're trying to make things work. We're trying to make sure that when people spend the time and the money and the effort to go through training that it actually benefits them and they actually can get a job and that they can get ahead; that they can get better jobs if they already have one at the entry level. I think the bill has merit. We need to control it as a Legislature. I'm not comfortable that this is being run with an executive order from the Governor. I think that the federal government, when they passed this bill, wanted Legislatures to put together their act and they set out parameters for them, and one of the parameters was that...did you say time, sir? Oh. One of the parameters was that the majority of the board consist of business members. Why? Because they wanted it to be done differently; they wanted it to succeed; they wanted to make sure that people got real skills; that they placed people in the work force first where they could learn on good equipment, with good trainers, with people who are actually doing the job. I think it's a good policy choice. I agree with Senator Connealy. I would like to see...move the bill forward and I will leave it to you to decide whether you want to, in fact, end up killing the bill. It was not my intent to kill the bill with my motion, but, in fact, without everything, that looks like where it's going. Thank you.