

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 24, 2000 LB 271, 968, 1276, 1279

that up within the terms of LB 1279. The other...I think those are the salient provisions of LB 1279. It was not a complex bill in the main. It clarified issues and I would say that the major policy decision is to simply say that if an entity...if a political subdivision gets money either through property taxes or state it's subject to the Budget Act. That is the main decision in that regard. There is a...there are provisions picked up in the amendment from a bill that was introduced by Senator Redfield. That was LB 1276. LB 1276 concerned the granting of exemptions from property tax. Part of that bill would have provided a process where anybody in a county could have objected to the grant of an exemption. That is...that was not deemed to be workable by the committee. The provisions that were deemed to be workable by the committee were a provision that says that the county assessor will keep a list of the entities that have requested exemptions from property and a list of that property that is going to be subject to review by the county board to determine whether or not there is an exemption; that the county board is required to publish a notice in the newspaper saying that the list exists and that a hearing will be held on the request for exemption at a specified time. The idea is that if individual taxpayers in a county are concerned about the granting of any particular exemption that the first place that they should voice those objections are with the county board. There is no existing procedure that would reliably notify them that anyone has claimed an exemption or that there is a specified time at which they could voice their objection. Now, they might be able to work at it and get that information, but it is our belief that they should not have to work at it; that that information should be reasonably available to them and that there should be a notice published in the newspaper indicating that the information is available and maybe that a hearing is going to be held later on. There is a provision in the amendment that deals with a rather technical issue with regard to LB 271. Remember, that's the bill that allows for taxation of governmental property that is not used for a governmental purpose. The taxes, in that instance, are paid by the lessee, so the lessee is clearly a party who has an interest in whether or not the property is going to be subject to tax. As the law now stands, however, the lessee could not appear with any standing at the county board. We're proposing that lessees clearly, in the statutes, be given the right to appear at the