

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 14, 2000 LB 1348

problems. One of the problems is the tax on gross tonnage. But you know why there's a tax on gross tonnage? Because when these arrangements were made, a number of years ago, the agricultural sector made a decision, they said...we said there are two choices; you do it however you want; what we want is \$2 million of revenue. At that point in time we had revenue figures besides several of the different components of the deal that was put together. You in the rural areas levy that fertilizer tax any way you want, but just make it generate a couple million dollars. The choice of the rural community was simplicity. In every taxing decision there are a number of things you consider. One is the ease and simplicity of the application of the tax. This tax is very simple, very easy to apply, and that's what the rural senators opted to do. If the rural senators want to get into one of Senator Wickersham's complex formulas, and Senator Wickersham is surely the best at complex formulas, this one would be no challenge to Senator Wickersham, no challenge whatsoever, if he wanted to turn his mind to it; that is not a problem. I think Senator Preister has described the fact that not only are the percentages of fertilizer sold in urban areas minute, minute, compared to what is applied in the rural sector, they are also otherwise taxed. So that's not any kind of a real problem. The distribution formula, all of this money goes back basically, the basic part of the distribution formula is that the money generated by the fertilizer tax goes back to the areas that generated the fertilizer tax. Is there a point of distribution problem? A minor one; that can be adjusted in any sort of formula, if somebody wants to adjust the formula. But the Natural Resources Commission, which is in charge of the formula, hasn't seen fit to do so, so far. But they can do that, if it's a problem. So that's not a problem. The only solution you've heard to this is to raise property taxes. And I don't think I even need to speak again against the unwillingness and the objection that people have, especially in rural areas, to the property tax. Is there objection to the fertilizer tax? I'm sure there is, but they are the polluters. Why is ag different than any other industry? Why don't they pay for their own pollution? That was never answered here this morning. Yes, it would be nice to apply the property tax, because then you can tax the people in the small towns as well as the people in the countryside for the payment of the problem caused by the farmers. Yeah, it would be nice to have the people in the small