

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 8, 2000

LB 1004

the state law was in another state based on .08 and in this state we base, we have .10, would we be able to recognize those, if you would yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bohlke, I will answer the question, and what the language of the amendment says is that if the offense committed in the other state would have been an offense under Nebraska law, then it can be used; .08 would not be an offense under Nebraska law, so that could not be used for enhancement.

SENATOR BOHLKE: And I'm not sure exactly what the neighboring states or, and it really doesn't matter, I mean anyone moving, they would not necessarily have to be neighboring states. The idea that people say the border problem, perhaps, that would be. That's not, you know, entirely my concern because it's preventing some of the tragedies, as I indicated to you, that have happened here in Nebraska, and so I'm concerned with what your...I can give you...I can give you support in recognizing other state law and not doing the ordinances, but it seems to me we now have a stumbling block because of the lack of consistency and the number of people who would be convicted on .08 and we wouldn't be able to recognize that conviction in Nebraska. So I'll continue to follow along in the discussion and the dialogue with regard to this, but that would be a concern of mine is that...if we would not be able to recognize those convictions if...perhaps if they could be similar, parallel, something we could work out so that we could.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator, oh, may I.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes, please, Senator Chambers, answer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bohlke, when we are dealing in other areas where you have reciprocity, generally the language will say that what happens in the other state has to comport with what would happen in this state. Let's say, I'll get out of the criminal area, if I want to be allowed to barber in another state, or I come from another state, they would say that the training, the education, and the other standards that I had to reach, need to be a barber in that state would have to be on a par with those in this state. And if not, they would give me