

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 1, 2000

LR 289

Legislature, I would also like to urge that we pull the bill. I started...when I started thinking about this I, being inclined towards history, I went back to, in my mind, to 1803 and the Louisiana Purchase and I thought about the fact that in all the children's books what do we learn first thing; how did Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas, how did all these places come to be a part of America? We bought it from France. And where did France get it? They seized it from Spain. And how did Spain get it? Spain got it because somebody, at one point in time, took a camping trip from Mexico to Kansas and rammed across and through the flint hills and said, this belongs to Spain. You know, you think of history. Wouldn't it have been nice if that person who claimed it for Spain had consulted at least, with the indigenous populations? So somehow then America got it and whatever legitimate claims we had, if there were some, they came from the Indian treaties. And then think about the arrogance again and how things are shaped. The sovereign Indian nations, the United States of America sovereign, and they had a treaty. And in most countries if you had disputes about interpretations of treaties and that sort of thing there would be either some independent commission or you would just separate and go your own ways. But who interprets, between two sovereign nation, who interprets the Indian treaties? It's the United States Supreme Court and the United States Congress, one side. You know, time and time again in history it's clear that the Indian nations have been treated like dirt and it seems to me that it's not too much to ask that we do them the respect of at least asking our people to vote on whether they're interested in a compact with those nations. And if there were some big moral dilemma here, some real moral dilemma, that would cause me further concern. But the Winnebago land, the Omaha land right across the river from Iowa, the Santee land...Santee land across the river...across the border from South Dakota, the Ponca land, the only other piece, as I understand it, upon which there could be a casino, not very far from South Dakota at all. There's gambling in Iowa. There's gambling in South Dakota. The road out of Omaha to Thurston County is about the same distance and maybe longer than the road out of Omaha to Pottawattamie County in Iowa. If we have these casinos as a pure pragmatic practical matter, you're not exposing any more of the population in Nebraska to gambling than are already exposed to gambling. So are we going to take away this opportunity from our Indian