

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 11, 2000 LB 1004

The amendment is actually...the amendment that Senator Chambers is attacking is actually a protection to people when you get into the area of judicial notice. And, if he wants to debate the matter, I'll debate it further with him. Thank you.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Matzke. Senator Bruning.

SENATOR BRUNING: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise in support of the bill. The conference we're having off to the side right now, Senator Chambers and I and others, was to figure out exactly how, if a conviction from another state comes at .08, for example, or .085, whether that can be used in Nebraska. And that's the...that's the catch right now. Senator Chambers has said on the side that he doesn't disagree with the overall concept of the bill, the fact that other convictions from other states can be used, it's the wording at this point. In my experience in the Legislature, those types of conflicts can be fixed. So I guess my hope is here at some point we can get Senator Engel, who's the sponsor of the bill, and Senator Chambers together and we can work out an amendment to fix this, because it seems like there is common ground here, based on my conversation a few moments ago with Senator Chambers. And, I guess, Senator Engel, I'd be interested in your comments. But knowing that the rub, at least for Senator Chambers, is how exactly this bill should be worded with regard to convictions in other states that fall below Nebraska's level and also that may have happened in another state prior to a change in law, so...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Engel, would you respond?

SENATOR ENGEL: I would, and I thought I'd just answered that, but maybe I didn't...quite clear with it. But the intent of the bill is that the conviction, if it's in Kansas or any other state, and there are several other states that are .08, we cannot use those convictions if that law was in effect in the prior 12 years. But, if it's been, say it went into effect two years ago, .08, and they were convicted two or three times prior to that, in that state, because our law goes back 12 years where they use it for enhanced penalties, then we could use those convictions prior to where they passed a law with the lower blood alcohol content. But you're right, we will not use, as of right now in Kansas or any other state where it's less than