

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 24, 2000 LB 509

reference to a punishment, bills that try to create crimes or enhance punishments, I will fight tooth and nail against in accord with the principles laid down here today by my colleagues. And I expect Senator Bruning and Senator Matzke to join me. But I will tell you one thing, Senator Brashear can forget about changing the burglary statutes. I would like to ask Senator Brashear a question. May I ask Senator Brashear a question, if he will yield?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Brashear, would you yield?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, were you thinking or having some thoughts about altering the burglary statute to make it easier to establish what constitutes burglary?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: It was more than thinking or a thought, I've introduced the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now with felony murder still on the books, it will be easier to convict somebody of felony murder under your bill, won't it? If burglary is involved, with an easier method of proving burglary, isn't that true?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: It's not an easier method of proving burglary, is it, Senator Chambers? It's an easier...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...method of proving felony murder.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, you have to establish...right now burglary is breaking and entering. The court doesn't like that because they can't always prove that somebody broke and entered.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you might be able to convict somebody of burglary without their having broken into the property, isn't that true?