

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 29, 1999 LB 530

was done and the agencies that were responsible for that license review came to the only conclusion that I kept telling everybody on this floor that they could come to, that there was no way that this could be licensed in that wetlands, a place where they had held canoe races, and I took part in that, and where this has been under water for the last ten years; there are four of those wellheads up there that are under water. Now I understand those of you who are engineers on this floor believe that you can build these things anywhere, because you have that sort of ability. I don't think that can happen, and neither do the people who did the review of the license. So the logical conclusion was to refuse to allow the license. And I agree with that. And all the people who opposed this thing at the very beginning agreed with that. We think it was done correctly, and yet the reason that I brought this bill, the reason that I brought it two years ago was because the people who are on that compact, the members of the compact were treating our compact member like he was a third class citizen. Compact means something that everybody is going to agree on. The four other members of the compact never treated our compact member with respect. They refused to let him take part in one executive session, because, according to them, they were going to talk about a lawsuit that Nebraska had filed, and they didn't think he should have information about what they were going to talk about. And two Nebraska attorneys enforced that position that he should not be present. An attorney from Nebraska represented the compact, an attorney from Nebraska was representing U.S. Ecology at the time. It wasn't in their best interest, but it was not democratic process. And I felt like, if that's the way they're going to treat us, that we should withdraw from that compact. And that's the reason this bill is before us today, and that's the reason it was up before us two years ago. Two years ago it carried over into the second session, which would have been last year, and Senators Beutler and Brashear prevailed on the body to take the bill and put it into the form that would call for a study by an outside law firm to decide what the penalties would be if we withdraw. That study came back from attorneys on the east coast, and they told us that our only penalty would be the \$25,000 per year that we would have to pay our dues in the membership of the compact. And compact law tells us, even if we withdraw, we still have to remain in for those five years before the withdrawal is final. So the only