

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 21, 1999 LB 605

and there are certainly very strong local, state financial interests in looking favorable upon ethanol and doing what we can to...all reasonable things that we can do to create an ethanol market. One of the things that's been going on in the Midwest is the idea of creating a broad regional ethanol market throughout the Midwest. And the state of Minnesota has already mandated the oxygenate use in all of its gasoline, in all of its gasoline, and they are not having any significant problems in that state neither in terms of the price of the product nor in terms of the useability or quality of the product. Iowa is considering doing the same thing. As I understand it, a bill is now advanced out of their committees, the Governor of Iowa is pushing this very hard. If all of the states in the Midwest could do this and create a vast market for ethanol, I think you would have to agree, I think our farmers would agree this would be a good program. This would be a beneficial program. This bill is out of committee and on the floor I believe, is it not, Senator Schrock? And, hopefully, we will have a chance to discuss that sometime. I don't know if you want the opportunity to discuss that in conjunction with this bill or not. I'll try to talk to some people between now and Select File and see if you're interested. It's a difficult decision. It's a big decision. It's a hard decision but it's a vision of the future. It's a way of growing and a good way of growing with ethanol and creates a huge market. We can be a part of creating a huge market and I think that market will have a very sustaining effect on the price of corn and other products used to create ethanol. So with that, if anybody's interested in discussion, I'll leave it open for a few minutes, and then I will pull the amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Your light is on next. Do you want to continue? Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: I want to be brief. Senator Beutler has suggested implicitly that perhaps some sort of a dual standard was being applied to AM1380 that would not have been applied to the amendment that he offered in terms of germaneness. I think that the rule that we have employed many, many times in this body is that when an amendment strikes all of the contents of a bill that it is germane, and LB (sic)1380 strikes all the contents of LB 605 or else, Senator, I wouldn't raise that