

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 12, 1999 LB 356

one point. But then, when we get to this language in line 3, not including the language relative to the seat belt, parking violations, the truck violations that I mentioned, muffler violations, motorcycle or moped protective helmet violations, or overloading of trucks. Those are not included for points. So there is to be no point assessed for a helmet violation or overloading trucks. There are no points assessed on any of those, so why are you going to pick out just the seat belt from among all of these listed and say, if you don't assess a point for the seat belt then...whatever the way you described that, but you make an exception for all these others. Now I will listen to your response to the question.

SENATOR BYARS: Thank you, Senator Chambers. With the exception of helmet violations, I think there are reasons. As you look at the laundry list of violations, virtually all of those have to deal with technical violations and not violations that will normally endanger other individuals, the helmet violations being the exception.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, then do you feel that a point should be assessed for helmet violations?

SENATOR BYARS: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you going to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...do that in this bill?

SENATOR BYARS: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why not?

SENATOR BYARS: My interest here is seat belts. I have absolutely not researched or have any kind of real definitive knowledge relative to helmets.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But then we will not take, as an absolute statement that you made before, how ridiculous it is to have a violation without points assessed. You don't really mean that